

Information Governance Report Responses to Requests

Period: 01.11.2014 to 30.11.2014

Sub Enquiry ID	Date Responded	Enquiry Details	Response Details												
20513	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Agency Staffing - Annual</p> <p>I hope you can supply information for spend on agency staff for Qualified Social Workers within the authority.</p> <p>If possible could this information please be presented for the year Jan 2013 - Apr 2014 as a total.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not hold this information.</p>												
20559	14/11/2014	<p>Subject: Temporary Accommodation</p> <p>Please could you provide annual figures back to 2009 for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The amount spent on temporary housing by the council 2.The number of people housed outside the borough <p>If it does not exceed the cost of the request</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The amount spent on B&Bs 4. The amount paid to your largest single provider. 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The figures for 2014 for questions 1, 3 and 4 relate to part year only.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The amount spent on temporary housing by the council <table style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr><td>2009</td><td>£55,572,044.53</td></tr> <tr><td>2010</td><td>£44,862,226.49</td></tr> <tr><td>2011</td><td>£38,074,739.16</td></tr> <tr><td>2012</td><td>£34,656,379.77</td></tr> <tr><td>2013</td><td>£32,891,492.87</td></tr> <tr><td>2014</td><td>£16,915,686.51</td></tr> </table> <p>These annual totals are for private sector lease rent expenditure only.</p> <p>In 2005 the government set a target for all Council's to reduce by half the number of households in Temporary Accommodation by 31/12/10. The council's target was to reduce</p>	2009	£55,572,044.53	2010	£44,862,226.49	2011	£38,074,739.16	2012	£34,656,379.77	2013	£32,891,492.87	2014	£16,915,686.51
2009	£55,572,044.53														
2010	£44,862,226.49														
2011	£38,074,739.16														
2012	£34,656,379.77														
2013	£32,891,492.87														
2014	£16,915,686.51														

to 2814. By the end of 2010 the council had more than halved the original number to 2,379. As at 31/10/14 the council have 1705 units of temporary accommodation, which has resulted in the greatly reduced spend on leased accommodation. The council have since 2012 exercised the power contained within the Localism Act 2011, to discharge the full homelessness duty into the private rented sector.

2. The number of people housed (Temporary Accommodation) outside the borough

2009	1236
2010	881
2011	750
2012	966
2013	1096
2014	1122

The council have always endeavoured to comply with the Homeless Code of Guidance in securing accommodation within its district, in so far as is reasonably practicable. However with an increasingly limited local supply of affordable accommodation, the council has had no alternative but to look outside the borough to find suitable accommodation in order to place people in immediate housing need.

It should be noted that the figure for 2014 is a snapshot as at September 2014.

3. The amount spent on B&Bs

2009	£4,687,255.29
2010	£7,359,095.95
2011	£7,968,017.52
2012	£8,702,467.23
2013	£10,107,800.73
2014	£4,171,869.85

The council's spend on Bed and Breakfast which includes nightly paid self contained accommodation is increasing year on year due to the increasing number of households approaching the council for assistance and the inability to find them an affordable

			<p>accommodation solution in the private rented sector.</p> <p>4. The amount paid to your largest single provider.</p> <p>2009 £1,383,088.00 2010 £1,622,947.32 2011 £1,567,130.00 2012 £1,505,134.00 2013 £1,496,226.40 2014 £848,713.00</p> <p>The council operates an approved suppliers list at prescribed daily rates. Suppliers notify the council of daily availability and accommodation is drawn on a strict rotational basis.</p>
20717	14/11/2014	<p>Subject: Expenses</p> <p>I am writing to obtain information about the number of the council's employees who received remuneration of more than £100,000 in 2013-14.</p> <p>Remuneration includes, but is not limited to: salary, fees, allowances, bonuses, expenses, benefits in kind, compensation for loss of office and employers' pension contributions.</p> <p>Please make clear if the response includes staff from schools or subsidiary companies under the council's remit and list those organisations. Please also indicate which employees work for each school or subsidiary.</p> <p>To outline my query as clearly as possible, I am requesting:</p> <p>1. The total number of employees who received remuneration equal to, or in excess of £100,000 in 2013-14.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. A total of 35 employees received remuneration, inclusive of employer pension contributions, in excess of £100,000 in 2013-14. This total includes staff in posts which have subsequently been deleted.</p> <p>2. It is our policy and in line with ICO recommendations that we only provide the names of employees whom receive salaries in excess of £150,000.</p> <p>Please see the requested information in the table below in relation to details of those receiving a total remuneration in excess of £150,000 in 2013-14.</p> <p>Forename, Surname, Position, Total Remuneration 13-14, Mileage Claims & Travel Fares</p> <p>Kim Bromley-Derry Chief Executive £239,655.00</p> <p>Graeme Betts Former Executive Director for Health and Partnerships £196,662.16 £5.22</p>

		<p>2.For those who received remuneration In excess of £150,000:</p> <p>i. The employee's name ii. The employee's job title iii. The remuneration received by the employee iv. An itemised list of expenses claims made by the employee. If an itemised list is not available, please provide the amount the employee claimed in expenses in 2013-14</p>	<p>£14.50</p> <p>Jacqueline Belton Executive Director for Strategic Commissioning £196,642.44</p> <p>Chris Pope Former Executive Director for Resources £196,188.66</p> <p>Head of 2012 (2) £177,138.53</p> <p>Chief Information Officer – A Shared Post with the London Borough of Havering £150,870.93 £137.81</p> <p>Notes: (1) This post has subsequently been deleted. (2) The remuneration for this post includes redundancy payment during the 2013/14 financial year. This post has subsequently been deleted.</p>
20874	12/11/2014	<p>Subject: Prince Regent Residential Parking Zone Consultation</p> <p>I require full results of the Stage 1 and 2 consultations with evidence and how various decisions were taken and are being implemented.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>A total of 1,354 valid completed questionnaires were returned before the closing deadline, giving an overall response rate of 43%.</p> <p>A total of 902 questionnaires were returned using the freepost envelope provided in accordance with our standard consultation process. A further 452 questionnaires (523 in total were received but 71 were discounted as duplicate or incorrectly completed) were handed in by four residents on Friday 11th October 2013. It is understood that these forms were collected by door to door canvassing of residents. Prior to these additional forms being submitted, the overall support rate for introducing controls was 48% (29% return rate). There was 59% support (26% return rate) for controls in the northern section of the area (north of and including Central Park Road and Wilson Road). However, of the 452 valid questionnaires only 2 were in support of controls, the rest were opposed.</p>

			<p>The consultation process is designed to elicit a clear and representative response to enable the council to understand the needs and the wishes of the public. It is not completely clear if the additional responses unduly misrepresented the results if canvassing took place on the doorsteps.</p> <p>The outcome of the consultation of the area to the north, (prior to the additional forms being submitted), was 59% in favour with a 26% return.</p> <p>As a result of the above, and to clarify the support/ opposition of residents and businesses in the area to the north, it was recommended that a draft parking scheme was designed and that the northern area where support had been shown were offered a Stage 2 Consultation on the scheme proposals, following the agreement of the local Councillors and the Mayoral Adviser for Environment and Leisure.</p> <p>Stage 2 consultation results</p> <p>A return of 541 valid questionnaires, with an overall response rate of 28%. Only 39% supported controls overall. However, there were two locations that showed strong support for parking controls. They were Jedburgh Road and the area immediately west of the existing Market Street Residential Parking Zone.</p> <p>For Jedburgh Road, there were 24 responses, giving a 32% return rate with 20 out of 24 responses (83%) supporting the parking controls. For the area to the west of Market Street RPZ, there were 134 responses, giving a 22% response rate with 92 out of 134 (69%) supporting the parking controls.</p> <p>These results were presented to the Mayoral Adviser for Environment and Leisure and local members who agreed for controls to be introduced on to the roads as highlighted in the update letters distributed in January 2014 and June 2014.</p> <p>The two stage informal consultation process as set out in the council's policy is designed to ensure that the wishes of the residents are fully considered and taken into account when parking controls are proposed.</p> <p>Whilst the areas and results as a whole are considered it is then part of our policy as set out in:</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>‘3.3.8 As set out above, petitions should not be ignored, as they are often useful in highlighting areas that Councillors and Officers need to examine. Where petitions are received during the consultation process, before approval has been given to implement the scheme, consideration will be given to what changes can be made to the RPZ to address the concerns made, taking full account of the results of the consultation process.’</p> <p>For Jedburgh Road a petition with 32 signatures was received asking for the same controlled parking as in the existing Prince Regent RPZ to be provided. The two informal consultation stages also show that Jedburgh Road strongly supports parking controls.</p> <p>In accordance with Clause 3.3.8 of the Parking Policies and Procedures document the petition and the consultation results have been considered and changes in the remaining uncontrolled length of Jedburgh Road have now been approved.</p>																				
20922	10/11/2014	<p>Subject: PCN payment</p> <p>I am requesting information on the Parking Penalty Charge payments.</p> <p>1. For the past 3 years the Council has introduced permit holder restrictions on a number of residential roads. We would like to know how much in total (£) the Council has made in RESIDENTS and LOCAL BUSINESSES paying for these permits. This will include the amount of permits submitted and the cost for the boroughs residents and local businesses.</p> <p>2. Furthermore, due to the restrictions many of the permit holders have received penalty charge notices whilst parking in another area. We want to know how many of these PCN's have been given to residents and local businesses even though they may have had a parking permit issued for another area of Newham.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. See table below. Please note, all first resident parking permits are free and visitor vouchers are free to those without a permit.</p> <table data-bbox="1086 861 1478 1077"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Actual 11/12 (£)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Business, Resident Permit and Visitor Voucher income</td> <td>£1,007,393.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Doctor's Permits</td> <td>£ 405.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Refunds</td> <td>£ 8,151.01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>£ 999,646.99</td> </tr> </table> <table data-bbox="1086 1109 1478 1324"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Actual 12/13 (£)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Business, Resident Permit and Visitor Voucher Income</td> <td>£1,397,145.46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Doctors Permits</td> <td>£ 90.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Refunds</td> <td>£ 10,073.82</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>£1,387,161.64</td> </tr> </table>	Actual 11/12 (£)		Business, Resident Permit and Visitor Voucher income	£1,007,393.00	Doctor's Permits	£ 405.00	Refunds	£ 8,151.01	Total	£ 999,646.99	Actual 12/13 (£)		Business, Resident Permit and Visitor Voucher Income	£1,397,145.46	Doctors Permits	£ 90.00	Refunds	£ 10,073.82	Total	£1,387,161.64
Actual 11/12 (£)																							
Business, Resident Permit and Visitor Voucher income	£1,007,393.00																						
Doctor's Permits	£ 405.00																						
Refunds	£ 8,151.01																						
Total	£ 999,646.99																						
Actual 12/13 (£)																							
Business, Resident Permit and Visitor Voucher Income	£1,397,145.46																						
Doctors Permits	£ 90.00																						
Refunds	£ 10,073.82																						
Total	£1,387,161.64																						

			<p>Actual 13/14 (£)</p> <p>Business, Resident Permit and Visitor</p> <p>Voucher income £1,467,658.76</p> <p>Doctor's Permits 90.00</p> <p>Refunds - £ 4,406.30</p> <p>TOTAL 1,463,342.46</p> <p>2. This information is not held on our system in a way that is easily searchable or readily available. An officer would be required to search the parking system for all PCNs issued under a contravention for being parked without a valid ticket, and then manually trawl through each one to identify if it was allocated a ticket and possessed a parking permit for another area of Newham. This exercise would be resource intensive and exceed the appropriate limit set out under Section 12 of the Act.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council can refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and are unable to satisfy your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>Section 12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 12(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit which in this case regrettably it would.</p>
20949	11/11/2014	<p>Subject: Wild animals/ insects found in Council owned buildings</p> <p>1. The number of reported complaints of wild, pest, animals and insects in Newham Council-owned buildings between 01/01/2011 – 31/08/2014, grouped into monthly totals.</p> <p>2. Please detail the animal/insect and the building</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We attach a spreadsheet which includes the information requested. Please note, where more than one animal/ insect has been reported, this indicates number of reports during that period, not number of animal/ insects sited. Please note, we have provided information from April 2014 to August 2014, as prior to this, records were kept only on paper worksheets within premises folders, which also includes other premises information, such as site visits. To obtain information from January 2011 to March 2014 would include reading through around 100 folders per year, and a total of approximately 1,200 to 1,400</p>

		<p>name/location it was sighted in.</p>	<p>worksheets, which would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>With regard to the second part of your request, we consider that the information requested in respect of naming the building in which the insect/ wild animal was sited is commercially sensitive and therefore withhold it under section 43 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Under Section 43, information is exempt from disclosure if releasing it would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).</p> <p>We consider that in disclosing the names or full addresses of the buildings would allow competitors to approach all Council buildings listed and offer pest control services tailored to the pests as reported. This could be potentially damaging to the Pest Control Unit's income, as well as the Council's budget and service delivery, as well as likely to weaken any future bargaining positions during future contractual negotiations.</p> <p>In considering the public interest test the London Borough of Newham has regard to the benefits of maintaining a healthy bidding position during any procurement process, the need to attract a wide range of bidders confident with the way in which the Council would handle their information and how this may affect the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations.</p> <p>We acknowledge that the public interest is served by promoting transparency in the accountability of public funds, ensuring that public money is being used effectively and that the Council is getting value for money when entering into commercial transactions with companies. On the other hand, however, we recognise and consider that there is a</p>
--	--	---	--

			greater public interest in maintaining confidentiality and protecting commercially sensitive information, release of which could damage commercial status in future negotiations, including that of the London Borough of Newham. As such, we consider that maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.
21051	03/11/2014	<p>Subject: Landlord Licensing</p> <p>The aim is to understand whether Selective Licensing is an effective tool for Local Authorities to exert better control on the private rented sector to improve property conditions and the local area.</p> <p>Please ignore questions that are not relevant to the scheme you operate.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How long has your scheme been in operation? 2. Approximately how many properties are covered by the scheme? 3. Of those properties approximately how many are/were anticipated to require a license? 4. How many licenses have been issued under your scheme? 5. How many Local Authority Officers (including administrative staff) deliver the scheme? 6. Please could you provide a break down of the License fees and any initiatives available i.e. early bird discount, multiple properties, accreditation discounts etc. 7. Was there an increase in Landlords becoming accredited? 8. For what reason(s) was Selective Licensing Introduced? <p>Low Demand</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. If your scheme was introduced to tackle Low Housing Demand, has the number of empty properties reduced? 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Property licensing schemes in the Borough.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How long has your scheme been in operation? Our property licensing scheme has been in operation since 1st January 2013. 2. Approximately how many properties are covered by the scheme? Approximately 41,000 dwellings are covered by the scheme. 3. Of those properties approximately how many are/were anticipated to require a license? Of this figure, approximately 36,000 dwellings are expected to require a license. 4. How many licenses have been issued under your scheme? A total of 33,500 licences have been issued under the scheme. 5. How many Local Authority Officers (including administrative staff) deliver the scheme? A total of 58 Council staff are involved in the delivery of this scheme. 6. Please could you provide a break down of the License fees and any initiatives available i.e. early bird discount, multiple properties, accreditation discounts etc. This information is already publicly available on the Newham website. For your reference please see the relevant we link below http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/ServiceChild/Private-rented-property-licensing-fees-and-charges.aspx 7. Was there an increase in Landlords becoming accredited? No. 8. For what reason(s) was Selective Licensing Introduced?

	<p>10. Please provide the number of empty properties in the designated Selective Licensing Area before the schemes introduction</p> <p>11. If known, please provide the current (or most recent) number of Empty Homes in the designated Selective Licensing area</p> <p>12. Before the scheme was introduced what percentage of properties were privately rented in the designated area?</p> <p>13. Has the number of privately rented properties in the designated area reduced?</p> <p>14. Please provide the percentage of properties currently being privately rented.</p> <p>15. Have property prices improved in the designated area?</p> <p>16. Prior to the schemes introduction was there evidence of a high turnover of tenants?</p> <p>17. Has Selective Licensing been credited with the improvement in turnover?</p> <p>18. Since the introduction of Selective Licensing have the number of calls for service regarding property conditions reduced?</p> <p>Anti – Social Behaviour</p> <p>19. Following the introduction of the scheme has/was a reduction noted in any of the following Crimes?</p> <p>a. Alcohol Related Crime b. Drug Related Crime c. Vandalism d. Criminal Damage e. Theft f. Burglary g. Car crime</p> <p>20. Following the introduction of the scheme has/was a reduction noted in any of the following 'Environmental Crimes'? (please tick the appropriate boxes)</p>	<p>Licensing was introduced to tackle issues of anti social behaviour and to improve the standard of rental properties across the borough, for the benefit of local residents.</p> <p>Low Demand</p> <p>The questions listed below under the heading of Low Demand are not applicable to the licensing schemes implemented in the London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>9. If your scheme was introduced to tackle Low Housing Demand, has the number of empty properties reduced?</p> <p>10. Please provide the number of empty properties in the designated Selective Licensing Area before the schemes introduction</p> <p>11. If known, please provide the current (or most recent) number of Empty Homes in the designated Selective Licensing area</p> <p>12. Before the scheme was introduced what percentage of properties were privately rented in the designated area?</p> <p>13. Has the number of privately rented properties in the designated area reduced?</p> <p>14. Please provide the percentage of properties currently being privately rented.</p> <p>15. Have property prices improved in the designated area?</p> <p>16. Prior to the schemes introduction was there evidence of a high turnover of tenants?</p> <p>17. Has Selective Licensing been credited with the improvement in turnover?</p> <p>18. Since the introduction of Selective Licensing have the number of calls for service regarding property conditions reduced?</p> <p>Anti – Social Behaviour</p> <p>19. Following the introduction of the scheme has/was a reduction noted in any of the following Crimes?</p> <p>a. Alcohol Related Crime b. Drug Related Crime c. Vandalism d. Criminal Damage e. Theft f. Burglary g. Car crime</p>
--	--	--

	<p>a. Graffiti b. Drug Needle finds c. Fly tipping d. Littering e. Dog Fouling f. Accumulations of waste on Private Land g. Abandoned Vehicles h. Flyposting</p> <p>21. Following the introduction of the scheme has/was a reduction noted in the number of noisy neighbour complaints?</p> <p>Prosecutions / Enforcement</p> <p>22. How many prosecutions has your local authority undertaken against landlords for breaching license conditions?</p> <p>23. How many prosecutions have been undertaken against landlords for not holding a license?</p> <p>24. How many Rent Repayment Orders have been secured against a landlord who didn't have a license?</p> <p>25. How many Interim Management Orders has your Local Authority had to secure?</p>	<p>We do not currently hold the requested information. It should also be noted that specific data of this nature would be very difficult to accurately compile as it would be necessary to assess as to whether the reduction in criminal or anti-social behaviour was directly attributable to the introduction of licensing schemes or other local initiatives to tackle such behaviour.</p> <p>The Council has commissioned a longitudinal evaluation to identify the impact of private rented property licensing in improving the quality of accommodation and its management and its effectiveness in reducing associated anti-social behaviour across the borough. This is not yet complete and results are not available.</p> <p>20. Following the introduction of the scheme has/was a reduction noted in any of the following 'Environmental Crimes'? (please tick the appropriate boxes)</p> <p>a. Graffiti b. Drug Needle finds c. Fly tipping d. Littering e. Dog Fouling f. Accumulations of waste on Private Land g. Abandoned Vehicles h. Flyposting</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 19.</p> <p>21. Following the introduction of the scheme has/was a reduction noted in the number of noisy neighbour complaints?</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 19.</p> <p>Prosecutions / Enforcement</p> <p>22. How many prosecutions has your local authority undertaken against landlords for breaching license conditions? To date, a total of 240 prosecutions have been initiated against landlords in breach of licensing conditions.</p>
--	--	--

			<p>23. How many prosecutions have been undertaken against landlords for not holding a license? To date, a total of 144 prosecutions have been taken against landlords for not holding a license.</p> <p>24. How many Rent Repayment Orders have been secured against a landlord who didn't have a license? To date, a total of 6 Rent Repayment Orders have been secured, with a further 44 orders presently underway.</p> <p>25. How many Interim Management Orders has your Local Authority had to secure? None to date.</p>
21053	14/11/2014	<p>Subject : Looked After Children - Ethnicity</p> <p>1. How many children were in your local authority care last year?</p> <p>2. How many children in your local authority care were of: a) a white racial background? b) a mixed race background? c) an Asian or British Asian background? d) a Black or Black British background? e) any other racial background?</p> <p>3. How many children in your local authority care were adopted last year? How many were of: a) a white racial background? b) a mixed race background? c) an Asian or British Asian background? d) a Black or Black British background? e) any other racial background?</p> <p>4. On average, how long was the 'waiting time' (time children were in local authority care before they were</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. How many children were in your local authority care last year?</p> <p>As a snapshot of the last financial year, as of 31st March 2014 there were a total of 406 Looked after Children.</p> <p>2. How many children in your local authority care were of: a) a white racial background? - 117 b) a mixed race background? - 80 c) an Asian or British Asian background? - 78 d) a Black or Black British background? - 119 e) any other racial background? - 12</p> <p>3. How many children in your local authority care were adopted last year? How many were of: a) a white racial background- 12 b) a mixed race background?-8</p>

	<p>adopted) for children of:</p> <p>a) a white racial background? b) a mixed race background? c) an Asian or British Asian background? d) a Black or Black British background? e) any other racial background?</p> <p>5. On average, how many placements did each of the following groups go through during their time being looked after by your local authority:</p> <p>a) children of a white racial background? b) children of a mixed race background? c) children of an Asian or British Asian background? d) children of a Black or Black British background? e) children of any other racial background?</p> <p>6. On average, how many placements did each of the following groups go through last year:</p> <p>a) children of a white racial background? b) children of a mixed race background? c) children of an Asian or British Asian background? d) children of a Black or Black British background? e) children of any other racial background?</p> <p>7. Of the care leavers who left your local authority care in the last year and went into education, training, or employment, how many were of:</p> <p>a) a white racial background? b) a mixed race background? c) an Asian or British Asian background? d) a Black or Black British background? e) any other racial background?</p>	<p>c) an Asian or British Asian background? - 1 d) a Black or Black British background? - 4 e) any other racial background-3</p> <p>4. On average, how long was the 'waiting time' (time children were in local authority care before they were adopted) for children of:</p> <p>a) a white racial background? 490 days</p> <p>b) a mixed race background? 527 days</p> <p>c) an Asian or British Asian background? 600 days</p> <p>d) a Black or Black British background? 640 days</p> <p>e) any other racial background? 546 days</p> <p>5. On average, how many placements did each of the following groups go through during their time being looked after by your local authority:</p> <p>a) children of a white racial background? b) children of a mixed race background? c) children of an Asian or British Asian background? d) children of a Black or Black British background? e) children of any other racial background?</p> <p>It is understood this request applies to individual placements across the duration of time spent by individuals in the care of the local authority. Unfortunately our systems are unable to retrieve this level of detail in a reportable format.</p> <p>6. On average, how many placements did each of the following groups go through last year:</p>
--	---	---

			<p>a) children of a white racial background? 1.6</p> <p>b) children of a mixed race background? 1.5</p> <p>c) children of an Asian or British Asian background? 1.6</p> <p>d) children of a Black or Black British background? 1.9</p> <p>e) children of any other racial background? 1.7</p> <p>7. Of the care leavers who left your local authority care in the last year and went into education, training, or employment, how many were of:</p> <p>a) a white racial background? b) a mixed race background? c) an Asian or British Asian background? d) a Black or Black British background? e) any other racial background?</p> <p>The number of young people leaving care in a one year period, going into specific fields, broken down by ethnicity returns a relatively low number of individuals. It is considered that in disclosing this information, coupled with other information which may already be in the public domain, could result in the identification of individual care leavers. Therefore the Council has decided to withhold this information.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if disclosing the information could potentially result in the identification of individuals. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and</p>
--	--	--	--

lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.

Summary:

1. Within your local authority, and for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 what was the number of 16-17 year olds who:
a) presented themselves as homeless or at risk of homelessness.

Please see this information in the table below

Year	16 to 17 years
2010-2011	37
2011-2012	18
2012-2013	52
2013-2014	76

a1) the assessment of the young person’s need was conducted jointly by both children’s services and housing services
All such cases are subject to a joint assessment.
a2) the assessment of the young person’s need was conducted by housing services and a referral was made to children’s services.

Please see our response to a1.
a3) the assessment of the young person’s need was conducted by the children’s services

Please see our response to a1.
2. Within your local authority, and for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 what was the number of 16-17 year olds who presented themselves as homeless, or at risk of homelessness and
a) were assessed as children not in need of support under the Children Act 1989 and not offered housing under the Housing Act 1996. **There were none across all years.**
b) were assessed as children not in need of support under the Children Act 1989 but were offered accommodation under the Housing Act 1996.

21057

Subject: Young People Housing Assistance

1. Within your local authority, and for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 what was the number of 16-17 year olds who:
a) presented themselves as homeless or at risk of homelessness
and
a1) the assessment of the young person’s need was conducted jointly by both children’s services and housing services
a2) the assessment of the young person’s need was conducted by housing services and a referral was made to children’s services
a3) the assessment of the young person’s need was conducted by the children’s services

2. Within your local authority, and for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 what was the number of 16-17 year olds who presented themselves as homeless, or at risk of homelessness and
a) were assessed as children not in need of support under the Children Act 1989 and not offered housing under the Housing Act 1996
b) were assessed as children not in need of support under the Children Act 1989 but were offered accommodation under the Housing Act 1996
c) were not assessed under the Children Act 1989 but offered accommodation under the Housing Act 1996
d) were assessed as children in need under the Children Act 1989 but were not offered accommodation
e) were assessed as children in need of support under the Children Act 1989 and accommodated under Section 20 of the Act (become looked after children)

	<p>3. Within your local authority, and for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 what was the number of 18-20 year olds who:</p> <p>a) presented themselves as homeless, or at risk of homelessness?</p> <p>b) were found to be eligible for homelessness support under the Housing Act 1996?</p> <p>Please specify whether these numbers includes care leavers and give a breakdown where possible.</p> <p>4. Within your local authority, for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and broken down into 16-17 year olds, care leavers and other 18-20 year olds how many young people were housed in:</p> <p>a) supported accommodation</p> <p>b) returned to live with parents or other family members (that may include moving-in with partners)</p> <p>c) hostels</p> <p>d) Bed and Breakfasts</p> <p>e) Private rented accommodation</p> <p>f) Local Authority accommodation</p> <p>g) Registered provider, such as a housing association.</p> <p>h) university accommodation or accommodation connected to an educational establishment</p> <p>i) not known</p> <p>j) staying put arrangements</p> <p>5. What are the policies in your local authority on:</p> <p>a) Do you provide specialist advocacy support for 16 and 17 year olds who present themselves as homeless and, if so, what organisations do you commission to provide this support?</p> <p>b) A 16 or 17 year old, presenting as homeless, or at risk of homelessness, who has been assessed as needing support under section 20 of the Children Act 1989 but</p>	<p>Please see the requested figures in the table below.</p> <p>Accepted as Homeless under Housing Act 1996 in 2010 to 2011 Applicants aged 16 or 17 years -2</p> <p>Accepted as Homeless under Housing Act 1996 in 2011 to 2012 Applicants aged 16 or 17 years -0</p> <p>Accepted as Homeless under Housing Act 1996 in 2012 to 2013 Applicants aged 16 to 17years – 3</p> <p>Accepted as Homeless under Housing Act 1996 2013 to 2014 Applicants aged 16 to 17 years - 1</p> <p>c) were not assessed under the Children Act 1989 but offered accommodation under the Housing Act 1996.</p> <p>None across all years - all cases were assessed under the Children's Act 1989.</p> <p>d) were assessed as children in need under the Children Act 1989 but were not offered accommodation</p> <p>Safe accommodation would be confirmed for all young people of this age, whether this involved a return home, to other family members, alternative accommodation or care.</p> <p>e) were assessed as children in need of support under the Children Act 1989 and accommodated under Section 20 of the Act (become looked after children)</p> <p>Please see the figures below which represent a snapshot figure of the number of children accommodated under Section 20 of the above Act as of 31st March at the end of each of the requested financial year.</p> <p>2010-11 = 82</p> <p>2011-12 = 59</p> <p>2012-13 = 42</p> <p>2013-14 = 67</p> <p>3. Within your local authority, and for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 what was the number of 18-20 year olds who:</p> <p>a) presented</p>
--	---	---

	<p>refuses to become a looked after child?</p> <p>c) The support available to c1) 16-17 year olds, c2) care leavers and c3) 18-20 year olds to furnish accommodation provided by your council.</p> <p>d) 16-17 year olds who are eligible for accommodation, either from children's services or housing services but get evicted due to b1) behaviour or b2) rent arrears</p> <p>c) 18-20 year olds who are eligible for accommodation but get evicted due to c1) behaviour or c2) rent arrears</p> <p>d) 16-17 year old who were assessed as not owed a housing duty or children in need under the Children's Act 1989 but present themselves repeatedly as homeless or at risk of homelessness</p> <p>b) Please provide your most recent protocols for assessing homelessness in 16 and 17 year olds.</p>	<p>themselves as homeless, or at risk of homelessness?</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Year</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010-2011</td> <td>45</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011-2012</td> <td>36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012-2013</td> <td>137</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013-2014</td> <td>159</td> </tr> </table> <p>b) were found to be eligible for homelessness support under the Housing Act 1996? Seven Please specify whether these numbers includes care leavers and give a breakdown where possible.</p> <p>Accepted as homeless under Housing Act 1996. Applicant formerly in 'care' and aged 18 to 20years old</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>2010 to 2011</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011 to 2012</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012 to 2013</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013 to 2014</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </table> <p>Accepted as Homeless under Housing Act 1996. Applicant having been in care:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>2010 to 2011</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011 to 2012</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012 to 2013</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013 to 2014</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Within your local authority, for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and broken down into 16-17 year olds, care leavers and other 18-20 year olds how many young people were housed in: Please see the figures below which represent a snapshot figure of the number of young people housed in requested forms of accommodation as of 31st March at the end of each of the requested financial year.</p>	Year		2010-2011	45	2011-2012	36	2012-2013	137	2013-2014	159	2010 to 2011	0	2011 to 2012	0	2012 to 2013	2	2013 to 2014	2	2010 to 2011	0	2011 to 2012	1	2012 to 2013	0	2013 to 2014	2
Year																												
2010-2011	45																											
2011-2012	36																											
2012-2013	137																											
2013-2014	159																											
2010 to 2011	0																											
2011 to 2012	0																											
2012 to 2013	2																											
2013 to 2014	2																											
2010 to 2011	0																											
2011 to 2012	1																											
2012 to 2013	0																											
2013 to 2014	2																											

			<p>a) supported accommodation 2010-11 = 33 2011-12 = 27 2012-13 = 24 2013-14 = 28</p> <p>b) returned to live with parents or other family members (that may include moving-in with partners) 2010-11 = 20 2011-12 = 18 2012-13 = 30 2013-14 = Figure not available</p> <p>c) hostels d) Bed and Breakfasts e) Private rented accommodation f) Local Authority accommodation g) Registered provider, such as a housing association. h) university accommodation or accommodation connected to an educational establishment i) not known j) staying put arrangements</p> <p>It is not possible to obtain a breakdown of figures for the requested data regarding accommodation in the forms listed under Question 4 c) to j) or the additional breakdown requested for a) and b). We do not have any reporting data to capture this level detail and to retrieve this would require a manual review of all cases. In order to retrieve this information, it would be necessary for an officer to manually interrogate each individual file, with each individual file varying in both complexity and volume of documentation. A thorough reading and review of each file would be required to manually compile this information. This would, in addition to the statistics already compiled for this response, exceed the appropriate limit. Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>5. What are the policies in your local authority on:</p> <p>a) Do you provide specialist advocacy support for 16 and 17 year olds who present themselves as homeless and, if so, what organisations do you commission to provide this support? Young People have access to the Children’s Rights Service, funded by the local authority.</p> <p>b) A 16 or 17 year old, presenting as homeless, or at risk of homelessness, who has been assessed as needing support under section 20 of the Children Act 1989 but refuses to become a looked after child? If a young person was considered to be significantly at risk and refused to be accommodated under Section 20, the Local Authority would convene a legal planning meeting to determine if a court order was required to ensure safety.</p> <p>c) The support available to c1) 16-17 year olds, c2) care leavers and c3) 18-20 year olds to furnish accommodation provided by your council. This form of support would be assessed and determined on a case by case basis.</p> <p>d) 16-17 year olds who are eligible for accommodation, either from children’s services or housing services but get evicted due to b1) behaviour or b2) rent arrears Each case would require a fresh assessment under Part VII of the Housing Act 1996.</p> <p>e) 18-20 year olds who are eligible for accommodation but get evicted due to c1) behaviour or c2) rent arrears Each case would require fresh assessment under Part VII of the Housing Act 1996</p> <p>f) 16-17 year olds who were assessed as not owed a housing duty or children in need under the Children’s Act 1989 but present themselves repeatedly as homeless or at risk of homelessness Each episode would require fresh risk assessment.</p> <p>g) Please provide your most recent protocols for assessing homelessness in 16 and 17 year olds. We are currently in the process of devising our new policy on assessing homelessness in young people.</p>
21063	14/11/2014	Subject: Disabled Facilities Grant	Summary:

		<p>I would like to request the following information:</p> <p>The total amount of spending on the Disabled Facilities Fund by your council in the years (a) 2010-11 (b) 2011-12 (c) 2012-13 (d) 2013-14?</p>	<p>Please see below the total annual Disabled Facilities expenditure for the requested years.</p> <p>2010-11 Expenditure: £1,343,145</p> <p>2011-12 Expenditure: £1,178,456</p> <p>2012-13 Expenditure: £1,038,216</p> <p>2013-14 Expenditure: £455,924. Please note that the reduction in expenditure from previous years was due to the transition of services at the start of 2013/14, this included the formation of a new team and associated processes to significantly improve the delivery times of home adaptations and provide greater choice and control for our customers. Please also note that although a significant number of cases progressed during 2013/14, completion of works (and associated costs) will be shown in the 2014/15 outturn.</p>
21055	11/11/2014	<p>Subject: School/Teacher Claims</p> <p>In the last financial year 2013/2014 please provide me with the number of settlements and the total amount paid as compensation to teachers or teaching assistants for injuries sustained at schools or outside schools. For each incident please provide me with the amount of compensation, costs and a summary of the claim.</p> <p>Note: The question relates to payments made in 2013/2014 regardless of when the incident took place. If the settlement was made by the authority's insurers it is still information "held" by your authority under the terms of the Act.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see table below. Please note this is for all claims closed during the period 01/04/13 to 31/03/14.</p> <p>This information does not include any 'disease claims'.</p> <p>Description Compensation Claimant costs Our Costs</p> <p>Slipped on a wet floor - injury to lumbar spine, which caused an exacerbation of a pre-existing condition over a 2-year period £10,350.00 £10,500.00 £4,950.00</p>

			<p>Tripped by a colleague - injury to soft tissue to face, both knees, right shoulder and endured emotional problems £4,577.40 £8,000.00 £1,350.00</p> <p>Slipped on a mat – injury to ankle and some ongoing minor symptoms £6,000.00 £9,250.00 £0.00</p> <p>Hit by a football during break time – sustained injury which required surgery and led to further conditions £15,000.00 £30,800.00 £3,456.00</p> <p>Slipped on food debris - injury to hips and knees after falling which this exacerbated and accelerated problem by 2-3 years £10,997.73 £15,500.00 £1,876.00</p> <p>Object fell from wall & hit claimant on head - minor concussion and continued headaches over a period of time £2,688.75 £17,750.00 £1,924.00</p> <p>Slipped on a wet floor - slipped and injured leg £3,915.00 £6,000.00 £0.00</p>
--	--	--	--

			Total amount of claims: 7 Total cost of compensation: £53,528.88
21058	11/11/2014	<p>Subject : Housing Allocations</p> <p>I am seeking the following information about the council's allocations policy for applicants to the council's social housing register.</p> <p>Could you send me this information:</p> <p>1) The length of the council's housing register/social housing waiting list (number of households)</p> <p>2) The number of council homes in the borough's housing stock</p> <p>3) The number of housing association homes in the borough's housing stock</p> <p>4) The number of social housing allocations made each year since 2010.</p> <p>5) The number of years people must live in the borough to be eligible to join the housing register</p> <p>6) The council's criteria for priority bands</p> <p>Could you send me the council's complete preference criteria for</p> <p>Band A housing register applicants</p> <p>Band B housing register applicants</p> <p>Band C housing register applicants</p> <p>Band D housing register applicants</p> <p>Could you also tell me:</p> <p>7) How does the council determine priority between applicants who meet reasonable preference criteria in each band?</p> <p>8) Does the council prioritise social housing applications from people who are employed over people who are unemployed?</p> <p>9) Does the council and/or the borough's housing associations have council or social housing homes, builds or estates that exclude people who are unemployed?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. The length of the council's housing register/ social housing waiting list (number of households)</p> <p>Answer 1: Currently 16,000</p> <p>2. The number of council homes in the borough's housing stock</p> <p>Answer 2: 17,685, however this has reduced since 1999 where the Council stock was over 23,000, largely due to Right to Buy.</p> <p>3. The number of housing association homes in the borough's housing stock</p> <p>Answer 3: Approximately 11,500 for social rent</p> <p>4. The number of social housing allocations made each year since 2010</p> <p>Answer 4: See table below</p> <p>01/01/2010 - 01/01/2011 = 1,236 01/01/2011 - 01/01/2012 = 1,559 01/01/2012 - 01/01/2013 = 1,212 01/01/2013 - 01/01/2014 = 1,044</p> <p>5. The number of years people must live in the borough to be eligible to join the housing register</p> <p>Answer 5: This information is readily available in the Newham Allocations Policy which is available on the Council website: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Documents/Housing/Housing-Allocations-Policy.doc</p> <p>6. The council's criteria for priority bands Could you send me the council's complete</p>

	<p>10) Does the council prioritise social housing applications from serving or ex-members of the armed forces?</p> <p>11) Does the council prioritise social housing applications from people who are engaged in voluntary or community work or activities?</p> <p>12) Does the council prioritise social housing applications from people who have caring duties?</p> <p>13) Are there any other categories of applicants that the council gives social housing selection priority to/ are there any other means by which applicants can improve their priority for housing?</p> <p>14) Please also send me a complete copy of your housing application policy.</p>	<p>preference criteria for Band A housing register applicants Band B housing register applicants Band C housing register applicants Band D housing register applicants</p> <p>Answer 6: Refer to Allocations Policy.</p> <p>7. How does the council determine priority between applicants who meet reasonable preference criteria in each band?</p> <p>Answer 7: Refer to Allocations Policy.</p> <p>8. Does the council prioritise social housing applications from people who are employed over people who are unemployed?</p> <p>Answer 8: Refer to Allocations Policy.</p> <p>9. Does the council and/or the borough's housing associations have council or social housing homes, builds or estates that exclude people who are unemployed?</p> <p>Answer 9: Refer to Allocations Policy.</p> <p>10. Does the council prioritise social housing applications from serving or ex-members of the armed forces?</p> <p>Answer 10: Refer to Allocations Policy.</p> <p>11. Does the council prioritise social housing applications from people who are engaged in voluntary or community work or activities?</p> <p>Answer 11: Refer to Allocations Policy.</p> <p>12. Does the council prioritise social housing applications from people who have caring duties?</p> <p>Answer 12: Refer to Allocations Policy.</p> <p>13. Are there any other categories of applicants that the council gives social housing</p>
--	--	---

			<p>selection priority to/ are there any other means by which applicants can improve their priority for housing?</p> <p>Answer 13: Refer to Allocations Policy.</p> <p>14. Please also send me a complete copy of your housing application policy.</p> <p>Answer 14: Refer to Allocations Policy.</p>
21064	11/11/2014	<p>Subject: Public Facilities</p> <p>I would like to request the following information:</p> <p>1) How many free-to-access public toilets are located within your local authority area?</p> <p>2) How many public seating areas are located within your local authority area?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>This information is not held by the Council.</p>
21073	14/11/2014	<p>Subject : Dismissal/Disciplinary Action</p> <p>Between 1 January 2004 and 23 September 2014, how many employees of your council have been sacked or disciplined for leaking information to the press?</p> <p>Please list each such case, including the name of the council employee, the date on which they were sacked, information on the information that was leaked, when it was leaked, and the name of the journalist and/or publication the information was leaked to.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Unfortunately we are unable to retrieve the information and level of detail you have requested from our computerised systems. We do retain a record on our Human Resources systems of employees who have been dismissed. However, we do not have any available sub-categories and are therefore unable to identify or report if any of these cases have resulted in dismissal or any other disciplinary actions as a result of leaking information to the press.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information it would be necessary to interrogate each of the individual employee's file who has been subject to disciplinary action over the past ten year period, to determine as to whether any alleged leak of information to the press had</p>

		<p>Please also explain how the source of the leak was identified. Please say whether the journalist's and/or publication's records were obtained, also specifying which records these were (e.g. mobile phone records, email records, etc.) and whether they were obtained using the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act.</p>	<p>been a factor in the dismissal or disciplinary action taken against that individual. Individual employee files may vary in size and content and a thorough review of each individual's documentation held in their file would be required to retrieve the level of detail requested. This manual retrieval of information would greatly exceed the appropriate limit defined by the Act.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
21074	03/11/2014	<p>Subject: Estates Maintenance</p> <p>Please can you supply costings for the following services provided by other service providers for the 1st April 2013 till 31st March 2014;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Weed Spraying/Pesticide spraying for Estates. 2. Painting of internal communal properties (high rise and low rise) 3. Void Clearance. 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. £20,915.32 2. £646,647 3. Due to restrictions of current IT systems there is not a definitive breakdown of costs for void property clearance.
21088	11/11/2014	<p>Subject: Local Health and Wellbeing Strategy</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As part of your responsibility to deliver a local health and wellbeing strategy, can you tell us whether you have a programme to address the vitamin D deficiency and raise awareness amongst groups as higher risk and those who care for them in the case of infants and young children less than 5 years? 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Local Authority has commissioned a universal service for all pregnant women to receive Vitamin supplementation (until their child has reached their first birthday) –this is an expanded offer in addition to the women who would be entitled to them through the Healthy Start offer. <p>This service and the wider Healthy Start service are delivered by Bart's Health - overseen</p>

	<p>If yes: - what is your approach to increase the availability and uptake of vitamin D supplements and improve awareness of the importance of vitamin D amongst at-risk groups and those who care for them in the case of infants and young children under 5? - Which health and social care professional are responsible for the implementing vitamin D awareness and at-risk prevention programme?</p> <p>2.Guidance was issued by the four UK Chief Medical Officers in February 2012 recommending that:</p> <p>“All infants and young children ages 6 months to 5 years should take a daily supplement containing vitamin D in the form of vitamin drops, to help them meet the requirement set of this age group of 7-8.5 micrograms of vitamin D per day. However, those infants who are fed infant formula will not need vitamin drops until they are receiving less than 500ml of infant formula a day, as these products are fortified with vitamin D. Breastfed infants may need to receive drops containing vitamin D from one month of age if their mother has not taken vitamin supplements throughout pregnancy.”</p> <p>Are you aware of this advice and have you acted upon the CMO recommendation?</p> <p>If yes: - Based on the above CMO advice, what have you done to ensure vitamin D supplements are widely available for all at-risk groups including infants and children less than 5 years? - What plans do you have in place to ensure health and social care professionals in contact with at-risk groups</p>	<p>by a maternity dietician and is coordinated the maternity service, the health visiting service and in conjunction with the local authority’s early start service.</p> <p>2. Yes, we are aware of the CMO’s guidance and an update paper will be considered by the appropriate commissioning team for wider review and consideration.</p> <p>The maternity dietician and the early start nutrition team have developed a range of health awareness/ health promotional material regarding Healthy Start and Vitamin D dietary requirements and the need for supplementation. These are widely available across each of the services for the health professionals and also across the borough’s health and social care settings for access by the local community.</p> <p>The provider service monitors and reports on the uptake of the Healthy Start supplements</p> <p>You also asked what plans the Council have in place to ensure this information is messaged. For further information you may wish to contact Frances Austin at: Frances.Austin@bartshealth.nhs.uk for this information.</p> <p>3. The issue of vitamin D is well understood by our health care professionals including underlying factors. During the antenatal period, the midwives raise the issue of vitamin supplementation / Healthy Start process as part of the wider antenatal care schedule. The Health Visiting service further reinforce this as part of their usual care regime and this is further reinforced by Children’s Services staff in the children’s centres and at dedicated weaning and nutrition groups that are held across the borough. Primary care colleagues are also familiar with the need to reinforce the dietary supplementation across high risk groups.</p> <p>4. Yes</p>
--	---	--

	<p>including infants and children under 5 years are made aware of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">i) local policies and procedures in relation to vitamin Dii) local sources of vitamin D supplementsiii) local sources of Healthy Start supplementsiv) Are you monitoring uptake of Healthy Start supplements? <p>If yes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- What is the uptake of free vitamin D Supplement for infants and children who are eligible for the Government's Healthy Start scheme? <p>If no:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- What plans do you have to monitor uptake of vitamin D supplements? <p>3. Do you currently conduct a vitamin D awareness programme?</p> <p>If yes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- What plans do you have in place to ensure messages and information about the importance of vitamin D for good health, are disseminated locally to groups at higher risk, and those who care for them in the case of infants and young children under 5 years? This includes addressing of any misconceptions parents may have about the risk of vitamin D insufficiency among infants and children and sources of vitamin D in the UK (from safe sun exposure, supplements and limited dietary sources) <p>If no:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- What are the barriers to implementing your local vitamin D awareness programme e.g. budget/ knowledge of at-risk groups and solutions/ other public health priorities/ health and social care professionals' training, other (please state)?	
--	--	--

		4. Are you aware of the draft guidance published by NICE in May 2014: Vitamin D: Implementations of Existing Guidance to Prevent Deficiency?	
21087	03/11/2014	<p>Subject: Funding of Early Education Entitlement</p> <p>I would like to make a request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 about the funding of Early Education Entitlement - often known as Early Years provision for 3 and 4 year-olds.</p> <p>1. Please can you tell me if your council provides Free Early Education Entitlement to four year-olds once they have started in a reception class at school or does this funding allocation stop once they leave nursery and start formal education?</p> <p>2. If it does continue once school starts, is this money paid directly to the state school until the term after the child in question turns 5 (the cut-off age for the entitlement) or can a parents use the money to pay an accredited child minder for extra help e.g. to do school pick up if they have a job?</p> <p>3. Equally, if the child is instead at a private (independent/ fee-paying school) is the money paid to that school until the term after the child turns five? Does this only happen if that school had a nursery attached?</p> <p>4. If yes to question 3, do you know how many independent/private/fee-paying schools have nurseries attached to them in your region?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Most children start reception from the September following the fourth birthday. Funding at this point moves to the schools block of dedicated schools grant.</p> <p>2. It does not continue if the child attends reception class (see above). The parent may choose not to place their child in school at this point and the child may continue in an early years setting, including an approved child minder, until the term after the 5th birthday (compulsory school age). In this case the funding will go to the early years setting.</p> <p>3. We understand this to mean private, voluntary and independent settings who offer charged-for places. In this case, yes, the funding would go to these settings until the term after the child turns five, if the parent chooses not to take up a reception place at school.</p> <p>4. There are 71 independent/ private/ fee-paying schools have nurseries attached to them in Newham.</p>
21106	20/11/2014	Subject: Newham Summer School	Summary:

		<p>Under the Freedom of Information Act, please tell me how much was charged by the provider for each course for Newham Summer School Courses s45, s46 and s47 - Introduction to Karting.</p> <p>Could you also tell me the number of places allocated to each course?</p>	<p>Each of the Introduction to Karting courses (S45, 46, 47) cost £1385 each.</p> <p>Each course ran for twelve hours over two days and included a track experience.</p> <p>Each course offered eight places</p>
21207	03/11/2014	<p>Subject : Pest Control</p> <p>I have a Freedom of Information request I want to submit. I want to know, in the last five years, if the council's pest control team have ever been called into deal with a problem at Upton Park football stadium in West Ham.</p> <p>If so, I would like the specific reason they were called in - whereabouts in the stadium, when it was and what the specific pest was?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>No.</p>
21208	03/11/2014	<p>Subject : Pest Control</p> <p>I have a Freedom of Information request I want to submit. I want to know, in the last five years, if the council's pest control team have ever been called into deal with a problem at the Olympic Stadium in Stratford since it was built.</p> <p>If so, I would like the specific reason they were called in - whereabouts in the stadium, when it was and what the specific pest was?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Council's pest control service has never been called to or treated any problems arising at the Olympic Stadium since it was built.</p>
21198	04/11/2014	<p>Subject: Flag Flying Policy - Council Buildings</p> <p>Please can you let me know what your flag flying policy is? (Council Buildings)</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the attached guidance note relating to the flying of flags outside Council buildings.</p>

		<p>Please can you confirm your position on whether or not you are going to fly the Palestinian flag?</p>	<p>The note details the Council’s position on the flying of national flags on commemorative days when there is a link with a significant proportion of the population of the borough.</p> <p>The flying of other flags can be requested via the office of the Council’s Chief Executive.</p> <p>The Council has not considered the issue of flying the Palestinian flag.</p>
21219	14/11/2014	<p>Subject: Allocations and Domestic Violence</p> <p>Would you please provide the following information as soon as possible on housing allocations and domestic violence?</p> <p>Are housing applicants that approach the council for urgent re-housing because they are at immediate risk of harm due to domestic violence required to declare themselves homeless by applying through the Part 7 homelessness route in order to be re-housed under the council's housing allocations scheme?</p> <p>Are housing applicants that are suffering domestic violence given reasonable preference under the council's housing allocations scheme?</p> <p>Are housing applicants that are suffering domestic violence given additional preference under the council's housing allocations scheme?</p> <p>Are housing applicants that are suffering domestic violence placed in the top priority Band in the council's housing allocations scheme? If not which Band are they placed in?</p> <p>If a point’s scheme is in operation how many points are</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Are housing applicants that approach the council for urgent re-housing because they are at immediate risk of harm due to domestic violence required to declare themselves homeless by applying through the Part 7 homelessness route in order to be re-housed under the Council's housing allocations scheme?</p> <p>Housing applicants can approach the Council for assistance from a number of routes– for example; someone at risk of harm may be a council tenant, living in a private sector property or with no fixed abode. Regardless of tenure in these circumstances the Council has a statutory duty to assess their application in accordance with the criteria within Part VII of the Housing Act. If accepted the applicant will be afforded reasonable preference on the housing register until such time that the homelessness duty is discharged via either a suitable offer of private rented accommodation or via a successful bid through Choice Based Lettings. If the applicant is a council tenant we will assess whether they meet the criteria set out in section 6.2 of the Allocations Policy for a direct offer of another social housing tenancy.</p> <p>2. Are housing applicants that are suffering domestic violence given reasonable preference under the Council's housing allocations scheme?</p> <p>If the applicant is an accepted homeless case then reasonable preference is given on the grounds as detailed in our answer to Question 1.</p> <p>3. Are housing applicants that are suffering domestic violence given additional preference under the Council's housing allocations scheme?</p>

	<p>applicants who are suffering domestic violence awarded? Is this the highest award of points within the housing allocations scheme? If not what priority will the points give these applicants within the allocations scheme?</p> <p>Are housing applicants that are suffering domestic violence made direct offers by the council?</p> <p>Are housing applicants that are suffering or at risk of severe life-threatening domestic violence prioritised as 'Exceptional Cases'?</p> <p>Which Band(s) are housing applicants placed in if they are considered to be homeless under S166A (3) (a) and have an urgent need to move because of domestic violence? Are any of these the top priority Band?</p> <p>Do current council tenants who are accepted as homeless because of domestic violence retain their tenancy rights if they are placed in temporary or emergency accommodation?</p> <p>Are housing applicants who are suffering domestic violence given the same priority within the allocations scheme regardless of tenure?</p>	<p>Please see our response to Question 4 below in respect of emergency status.</p> <p>4. Are housing applicants that are suffering domestic violence placed in the top priority Band in the Council's housing allocations scheme? If not which Band are they placed in?</p> <p>If an existing council tenant is awarded 'emergency status' as a result of suffering domestic violence and as a result qualify on harassment grounds, as set out at Section 6.2 of the Allocations Policy, then they are eligible for a priority move via a direct offer. If an accepted homeless case, then reasonable preference is awarded as stated in our response to Question 1.</p> <p>5. If a point's scheme is in operation how many points are applicants who are suffering domestic violence awarded? Is this the highest award of points within the housing allocations scheme? If not what priority will the points give these applicants within the allocations scheme?</p> <p>Newham operates a banding system. Full details of the banding system and the types of cases that are prioritised are contained in our Allocations Policy which is available on the Council's website. Please see the relevant link provided below.</p> <p>6. Are housing applicants that are suffering domestic violence made direct offers by the council?</p> <p>If the applicants are existing council tenants and qualify on harassment grounds as detailed in our response to Question 4. Applicants in such circumstances are also allowed to bid for a property through choice based lettings, in tandem with being considered for a direct offer, for a time limited period. Further details are contained in section 8 of the Allocations Policy.</p> <p>7. Are housing applicants that are suffering or at risk of severe life-threatening domestic violence prioritised as 'Exceptional Cases'?</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 4.</p>
--	--	--

			<p>8. Which Band(s) are housing applicants placed in if they are considered to be homeless under S166A (3) (a) and have an urgent need to move because of domestic violence? Are any of these the top priority Band?</p> <p>They are placed in the 'Priority Home Seeker' band which includes all other reasonable preference groups</p> <p>9. Do current council tenants who are accepted as homeless because of domestic violence retain their tenancy rights if they are placed in temporary or emergency accommodation?</p> <p>If a council tenant is offered temporary accommodation by the Council due to risk of violence, including when they meet the criteria set out in 6.2 of the Allocations Policy for a direct offer, it is expected they will give up their tenancy as it has been agreed to find them a direct offer of an alternative tenancy. It should be noted that if the tenant is in receipt of housing benefit this will cease at the point they do not intend to return, as this could lead to them building up rent arrears that they would remain liable to pay.</p> <p>10. Are housing applicants who are suffering domestic violence given the same priority within the allocations scheme regardless of tenure?</p> <p>Accepted homeless applicants are afforded reasonable preference as stated in our response to Question 1. Existing council tenants may qualify for urgent transfer, depending on the circumstances of the case, via a direct offer as an emergency status case, as detailed in our response to Question 9 above.</p> <p>For further information in relation to our Housing Allocations policy, please see the web link below. http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/ServiceChild/Housing-allocations.aspx</p>
21216	03/11/2014	<p>Subject : Temporary Accommodation Standards</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act, I would be grateful if you could please provide me with the following information as soon as possible:</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>* Please note that the term temporary accommodation relates to B&B accommodation, hostels, hotels and any other accommodation used by your Borough to house people on a temporary basis</p>

		<p>1. Does your borough vet temporary accommodation providers to ensure all legal requirements are met in terms of Health and Safety, fire safety, hygiene? If so, please explain how.</p> <p>2. Does your borough temporary accommodation procurement strategy have laid down basic standards for temporary accommodation providers? If so, what are the basic standards?</p> <p>3. Does your borough carry out regular inspections of the temporary accommodation used by your borough? If so how often are the inspections carried out?</p> <p>•Kindly note that the term temporary accommodation relates to B&B accommodation, hostels, hotels and any other accommodation used by your Borough to house people on a temporary basis.</p>	<p>1. The Council operates an approved suppliers list for the procurement of emergency temporary accommodation (nightly let) and has a framework contract for procuring second stage accommodation in accordance with its s193 duty. Both contracts specify standards to meet the relevant suitability orders and Homelessness Code of Guidance.</p> <p>2. As mentioned above there are procured contracts in place where the Greater London Authority's Bed and Breakfast Information Exchange (BABIE) standards, categories A-C1 for emergency temporary accommodation and the council's Guidance For Accommodation Standards in the private rented sector are specified. Copies of these documents are attached.</p> <p>3. Each of the Council's units of emergency temporary accommodation is visited as a minimum every three months. The council's portfolio of second stage temporary accommodation is managed by managing agents who are contractually obliged to carry out monthly inspections. This process is monitored through the contract monitoring arrangements, where monthly meetings are held, unannounced audits of tenancy files and random property inspections are also carried out.</p>
21231	03/11/2014	<p>Subject : NHS Claims</p> <p>I would like to request the following information under the FOI Act:</p> <p>1. How many injury claims have been made in total at NHS hospitals, bodies, trusts, opticians, pharmacies and surgeries (including GP) since September 2009 and how many of those were successful?</p> <p>2.The total amount of compensation that has been paid out as a result of successful claims made after injury while at Government-run clinician services in the area governed by the local council since September 2009</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Council does not hold this information. You may wish to contact the NHS directly at: http://www.bartshealth.nhs.uk/about-us/freedom-of-information/</p>

		<p>3.A list detailing the cause of each individual incident which resulted in a successful claim and the amount of money that was paid out per incident in a successful claim since September 2009</p> <p>4. An outline of the procedure carried out by both the service provider and the local authority once an injury claim has been made.</p> <p>To clarify, questions 1 to 3 relate to claims notified since September 2009.</p>	
21232	05/11/2014	<p>Subject : Food Poisoning in Schools</p> <p>I would like to request the following information under the FOI Act:</p> <p>1.The total number of recorded food related sickness/illness cases at primary schools, secondary schools and colleges in the area governed by the local council since September 2009</p> <p>2.A list detailing the cause of each individual food sickness/illness-related incident since September 2009</p> <p>3.A list detailing the food hygiene ratings of all primary schools, secondary schools and colleges in the area governed by the local council</p> <p>4. A list detailing all incidents where foreign objects have been found in food at primary schools, secondary schools and colleges in the area governed by the local council since September 2009 and in each case, whether a successful compensation case was filed.</p> <p>5. An outline of the procedure carried out by both the</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. We do not hold this information – you may wish to contact the schools/ colleges directly.</p> <p>2. As above.</p> <p>3. This information is published on the Food Standard Agency website for all schools, colleges and universities within Newham: http://ratings.food.gov.uk/</p> <p>4. None reported to the Council.</p> <p>5. If it is thought that an illness is food related, an Environmental Health Officer is notified and they will carry out an investigation. We follow any advice provided by this process. Very often it is not food related illness but Norovirus type illness among children.</p>

		service provider and the local authority once a food sickness/illness incident has occurred.	
21233	10/11/2014	<p>Subject: Festive Injury Claims</p> <p>I would like to request the following information under the FOI Act:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many Christmas / festive-related injury claims have been made against the council after the incident occurred on public property since September 2009 and how many of those were successful? 2.The total amount of compensation that has been paid out as a result of successful Christmas / festive claims made due to injury while on public / Government property in the area governed by the local council since September 2009 3.A list detailing the cause of each individual incident which resulted in a successful claim and the amount of money that was paid out per incident in each successful claim since September 2009 4. An outline of the procedure carried out by both the service provider and the local authority once an injury claim has been made. <p>To clarify, questions 1 to 3 relate to claims notified since September 2009.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not have records of any claims that are relevant to the definition of 'festive injuries' as stated, for the periods requested.</p>
21228	05/11/2014	<p>Subject : Section 208 Notices</p> <p>Section 208 of the Housing Act 1996 states that, when a local authority discharges its housing functions out of area, it must “give notice to the local housing authority in whose</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our records are held by financial year.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A total of 49 Section 208 notices have been issued by the authority this financial year

		<p>district the accommodation is situated.” S208 goes on to give details of what information should be provided.</p> <p>I will refer to this notice as an “s208 notice”, for the purposes of my request, but when using this term I mean any notice sent to or received from a local authority which is connected to this legal requirement.</p> <p>1.Please tell me how many s208 notices were sent out by the local authority in 2014</p> <p>2.Please tell me how many s208 notices you received from other local authorities in 2014</p> <p>3.Please tell me how many s208 notices were sent out by the local authority in 2013</p> <p>4.Please tell me how many s208 notices you received from other local authorities in 2013</p>	<p>(up to 15th October.)</p> <p>2. There has been one Section 208 notice received by the authority this financial year (up to 15th October.)</p> <p>3. A total of 86 Section 208 notices were issued by the authority during the 2013/14 financial year.</p> <p>4. No Section 208 notices were received from other local authorities during the 2013/14 financial year.</p>
21235	05/11/2014	<p>Subject: Agency Social Workers</p> <p>I would like to request that you provide the following under the Freedom of Information Act:</p> <p>How many qualified Social Workers were working on a temporary (Agency) basis at the Council on 26th September 2014 analysed by:</p> <p>1. Children’s Services</p> <p>2. Adult Services</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. CYPS - 45</p> <p>2. Adults - 35</p>
21241	07/11/2014	<p>Subject : Highways</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

		<p>Please can you supply the Name, Email Address, Direct Telephone Number and Postal Address of the following people within your council,</p> <p>1) Elected Portfolio Holder for Highways 2) Council officer in charge of Highways</p>	<p>Please can you supply the Name, Email Address, Direct Telephone Number and Postal Address of the following people within your council,</p> <p>1. Elected Portfolio Holder for Highways</p> <p>The Mayoral Adviser for Environment and Leisure is Councillor Ian Corbett.</p> <p>Please see the relevant contact details on the link below. https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mgUserInfo.aspx?UID=196</p> <p>The special responsibilities of Newham's Councillors can be found on the Newham website on the link below. https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mgMemberIndex.aspx?bcr=1</p> <p>2. Council officer in charge of Highways</p> <p>The Director for Commissioning (Community and Environment) – the directorate which includes Highways is Steve Moore.</p> <p>Steve.Moore@newham.gov.uk Contact telephone number is 0208 430 2000.</p> <p>Contact postal address can be found at the top of this letter.</p>
21237	14/11/2014	<p>Subject : Private Rented Sector - Enforcement</p> <p>1. How many privately rented homes are currently within the local authority boundaries?</p> <p>2. How many privately rented homes are it estimated were within the local authority boundaries in May 2010?</p> <p>3. How many enforcement officers currently work for the local authority to enforce regulations and standards in the</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. How many privately rented homes are currently within the local authority boundaries?</p> <p>There are in the region of 40,000 privately rented properties currently in the borough. The market, ownership and use of properties is continually changing, as are the total numbers of private properties which are rented to private tenants in the borough. The numbers are therefore fluid.</p> <p>Newham Council is the first borough in the country to introduce mandatory licensing of all</p>

	<p>private rented sector?</p> <p>4. How many enforcement officers worked for the local authority to enforce standards in the private rented sector on 1 May 2010?</p> <p>5. How many enforcement officers does the borough estimate it would need to sufficiently enforce the current regulations relating to the private rented sector?</p>	<p>private rented properties. Prior to introducing this scheme the best estimate of Council officers was that we had 36,200 privately rented properties. Our licensing scheme has demonstrated that there are actually many more than that.</p> <p>2. How many privately rented homes are it estimated were within the local authority boundaries in May 2010?</p> <p>It is estimated there were in the region of 35,000 privately rented properties in the borough in May 2010. This is based on ONS estimates and detailed work we have undertaken in introducing mandatory private rented sector licensing.</p> <p>3. How many enforcement officers currently work for the local authority to enforce regulations and standards in the private rented sector?</p> <p>There are 23 full time equivalent dedicated Environmental Health Officers and Private Housing Officers working in this area. However, this scheme is supported by a further 17 licensing processing officers, two dedicated solicitors, Council funded Section 92 Police Officers, Planning Enforcement and dedicated Council Tax and Housing Benefits Officers. This level of multi-service approach has enabled Newham to achieve more than half of all of the private rented housing prosecutions in London last year. We also focus on the recovering of unpaid Council Tax owed by landlords and take a multi-agency enforcement approach to disrupt criminal landlords.</p> <p>4. How many enforcement officers worked for the local authority to enforce standards in the private rented sector on 1 May 2010?</p> <p>There were 22 dedicated officers working in this area on 1st May 2010, however there was not the level of multi service contribution seen in our current approach This demonstrates just how much more can be achieved when multi-disciplinary teams work within the framework of licensing, including the additional intelligence, resources and powers that licensing offers.</p> <p>5. How many enforcement officers does the borough estimate it would need to sufficiently enforce the current regulations relating to the private rented sector?</p> <p>“Current regulations” do not deliver the kind of help we provide to tenants in the Private Rental Sector – or to residents across the borough affected by crime and ASB caused by</p>
--	--	--

			<p>overcrowding and exploitation in the PRS.</p> <p>We believe delivering sufficient enforcement with the “traditional” regulatory approach is not reasonably possible. It does not address many of the issues that tenants in the private rented sector face and our multi-service approach seeks to work beyond “sufficiently” enforcing the regulatory approach.</p> <p>Please refer to the answer to Q3.</p>
21242	03/11/2014	<p>Subject : Pest Control</p> <p>Through Freedom of Information, can you tell me how many times pest control was called to Premier League or Championship grounds in your patch in the past four financial years please? (April 2013- March 2014, April 2012 – March 2013, April 2011 – March 2012, April 2010 – March 2011)</p> <p>I would like the total number of times you were called to each ground in each year.</p> <p>I would also like a breakdown featuring a summary of what the problem was, the month the report was made, and exactly where the problem was.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Council’s pest control service have not been called to or treated a Championship or Premier League ground, located in the borough, for pests in the last four financial years.</p>
21236	03/11/2014	<p>Subject : Leaseholder Data</p> <p>Stewart Rainbird House, Manor Park E12.</p> <p>I would like to know how many are privately owned and how many have council tenants in them, in the block.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Of the properties in this block, a total of eighteen are Council owned and occupied properties, with the remaining fourteen properties being leaseholder properties.</p>
21239	03/11/2014	<p>Subject : Alcohol Licensing</p> <p>Please could you provide me with a list of 24 hour off-</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for</p>

		<p>licences in the London Borough of Newham - Referring to premises that are licensed to sell alcohol 24 hours a day?</p>	<p>information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 21 exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request in part for information.</p> <p>Section 21 of the Act contains an exemption for information which is reasonably accessible by other means.</p> <p>The requested information is relation to licensed premises is already publicly available through both public inspection of the Public register and by independent search of the Council's online planning and licensing portal. For your reference, please see the relevant details below.</p> <p>Under the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003, the Council maintains a public register of premises licensed for the sale of alcohol. The register can be inspected at the offices of the Council's Licensing Team, 1st Floor, 330-354 Barking Road, London E6 2RT (telephone 0203 373 3547) between 1.45 and 4.45pm on Monday to Friday.</p> <p>Alternatively the full details of licensed premises are available on the Council's website at https://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do?action=simple&searchType=LicencingApplication</p>
21240	05/11/2014	<p>Subject : Trading Standards Actions</p> <p>I am writing to make a request under the Freedom of Information Act to find out what action, if any, has been taken against the retail company Sports Direct by the Trading Standards Services of your council.</p> <p>Sports Direct currently trade as SportsDirect.com and is owned by Sports Direct International plc. The company was formerly known as Sports Direct Retail Ltd, Sports World International (with shops being name Sports World) and Sports Soccer.</p> <p>I would like to know what action has been taken against this company (by any of the names I have listed above), for however long you hold records for. If answering these</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Have you ever prosecuted this company?</p> <p>No</p> <p>When did this happen and what was it for? What was the outcome?</p> <p>Not applicable</p> <p>2. Have you ever cautioned this company or have they been subject to a formal caution?</p> <p>No</p> <p>When did this happen and what was it for? What was the outcome?</p>

		<p>questions will exceed the cost and time limit for responding to an FOI, then please provide the information for each year (in reverse order, most recent being first) until that cost and time limit has been met.</p> <p>Specifically I would like to know:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have you ever prosecuted this company? When did this happen and what was it for? What was the outcome? 2. Have you ever cautioned this company or have they been subject to a formal caution? When did this happen and what was it for? What was the outcome? 3. Have you ever sought any undertaking from this company? When did this happen and what was it for? What was the outcome? 4. Have you issued any warnings against this company? When did this happen and what was it for? What was the outcome? 	<p>Not applicable</p> <p>3. Have you ever sought any undertaking from this company?</p> <p>No</p> <p>When did this happen and what was it for? What was the outcome?</p> <p>Not applicable</p> <p>4. Have you issued any warnings against this company?</p> <p>No</p> <p>When did this happen and what was it for? What was the outcome?</p> <p>Not applicable</p>
21244	05/11/2014	<p>Subject : Direct Schools Grant</p> <p>Local authorities that have oversight with regard to education receive a grant from the government known as the Direct Schools Grant (DSG). The vast majority of the monies received are sent directly to schools. However, the DSG rules specify that some monies can be held back for central services. One of these could be the employment of teachers to offer advice and support to schools that have children on the autistic spectrum, the teachers of such children and the parents of such children.</p> <p>I would be grateful for the number of qualified teachers that you employ centrally who provide support in autism.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>6 (all are Full Time Employees).</p>

		I would be grateful if you would provide me with information that could be fractional, for example a teacher may be on a half-time contract or might have functions outside autism that takes up half their time and hence you would report this as 0.5.	
21245	05/11/2014	<p>Subject : Additional School Support</p> <p>Please could you send me any information you have what each Newham secondary school does to tackle white working class underachievement.</p> <p>I appreciate that you may not hold all of this data. If this is the case, please could you advise how I can proceed further in accessing the data?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Individual schools (primary and secondary) make specific plans to tackle underachievement among the pupils they serve, including that of white, working class pupils; however the Local Authority does not hold this information centrally. Statistical evidence on a borough wide basis indicates that this is an underachieving group in the borough.</p> <p>The achievement of white pupils is part of an analysis of pupil data by ethnicity. In the datasets, white pupils are analysed by several groups: British; Irish; Traveller of Irish Heritage; Romany or Gypsy; any other White background. In addition there are a number of ethnic groups described as 'Mixed' that include white ethnicity. Achievement data does not include an analysis by social class, other than by pupils for whom the school is in receipt of the Pupil Premium (P Pr), and those for whom it is not. Thus there is no data at Local Authority level on the achievement of white working class pupils per se.</p> <p>Schools, because of their greater knowledge of pupils and their economic circumstances, are able to draw conclusions about the achievement of white working class pupils, by cross referencing ethnicity and 'P Pr'/ 'non P Pr' data, and to prepare their intervention plans accordingly.</p> <p>For further information, many schools' websites hold information about their School Development Plan. Where appropriate, these will include information about how the underachievement of specific pupil groups is being tackled.</p>
21257	05/11/2014	<p>Subject: Business Rates Credits</p> <p>Could you please provide me with the following information under the Freedom Of Information Act 2000:-</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the</p>

		<p>Addresses, rateable values, property description and occupier details of: Any commercial property within your Billing Authority, that has a Non Active/Closed business rates account, and/or is listed as being in administration/liquidation, that has a credit on the account, with the billing date/year that this credit was added.</p>	<p>reliefs they are receiving and from what date, in a specified area. Operationally we do not require our systems to be capable of compiling such reports listing accounts as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to the reliefs and start dates in the requested time frame. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>The general information requested in relation to business rates accounts is already reasonable accessible through the information publicly available on the Valuation Office Agency website. Please see the relevant web link below.</p> <p>http://www.voa.gov.uk/</p>
21259	05/11/2014	<p>Subject : Planning Documentation</p> <p>Please provide me with a copy of the Section 106 agreement relating to the shared ownership homes in East Village development, in particular the sections governing eligibility of applicants.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Council do not hold this information. The London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) is the Local Planning Authority for the East Village; therefore you may wish to contact them directly.</p>
21258	04/11/2014	<p>Subject: School Place Expansion</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

	<p>I would like to know:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The number of schools that have expanded the number of pupils on roll during the academic years 2013-14 and 2014-15. <p>For each school I would like to know</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The name (and, if available, the URN) of the school b) Whether or not the school is primary or secondary c) The number of extra places the school offered on roll and the number of places originally offered d) The size of the largest year group in the school (either the number of forms, or the number of pupils, or both if available) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The number of schools where there are plans/proposals to expand the number of pupils on roll over the next five academic years (ie. 2015-16 until 2020-21) <p>For each school I would like to know</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The name and URN of the school b) Whether or not the school is primary or secondary c) The number of extra places the school will offer and the number currently offered d) The size of the largest year group in the school (either the number of forms, or the number of pupils, or both if available) e) The date of the proposed expansion 	<p>I would like to know:</p> <p>The number of schools that have expanded the number of pupils on roll during the academic years 2013-14 and 2014-15.</p> <p>For each school I would like to know</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The name (and, if available, the URN) of the school b. Whether or not the school is primary or secondary c. The number of extra places the school offered on roll and the number of places originally offered. <p>URN 3000 - St James Junior School 30 additional places - originally 360 places.</p> <p>URN 2055 - Ravenscroft Primary School 30 additional places a year now until full in seven years – additional 210 pupils. Originally offering 420 places.</p> <p>URN 2000 - Altmere Infant School 30 additional places a year until up to 450 pupils. Originally offering 360 places.</p> <p>URN 2069 - St Stephens Primary School 30 additional places a year now until full in seven years - additional 210 pupils. Originally offering 420 places.</p> <p>URN 2025 - Woodgrange Infant School 30 additional places a year until up to 450 pupils. Originally offering 360 places.</p> <p>URN 2036 - Kensington Primary School 30 additional places a year now until full in seven years – additional 210 pupils. Originally offering 420 places.</p> <p>URN 4601 - St Bonaventure's (Secondary) 30 additional places per year for five years or an additional 150. Originally offering 186 places.</p> <p>It should be noted that for all of the schools which have experienced expansion there</p>
--	--	--

			<p>remains a maximum of 30 pupils per class. This is subject to admissions appeals where they may in exceptional circumstances be compelled to go over 30.</p> <p>2. The number of schools where there are plans/proposals to expand the number of pupils on roll over the next five academic years (ie. 2015-16 until 2020-21) For each school I would like to know a) The name and URN of the school b) Whether or not the school is primary or secondary c) The number of extra places the school will offer and the number currently offered d) The size of the largest year group in the school (either the number of forms, or the number of pupils, or both if available) e) The date of the proposed expansion</p> <p>Please see below the list of confirmed plans for expansion over the next five academic years.</p> <p>URN 4037 - Kingsford Secondary Additional 150 new places planned. Currently 1500 places offered. Current biggest year group - 300 students. Date of expansion – to be confirmed</p> <p>URN 4032 - Plashet School (Secondary) Additional 150 new places planned. Currently 1380 places offered. Current biggest year group - 270 students. Date of expansion – to be confirmed</p> <p>URN 4035 - Sarah Bonnell (Secondary) Additional 150 new places planned. Currently 1200 places offered. Current biggest year group - 240 students. Date of expansion – to be confirmed</p> <p>URN 4015 - Little Ilford (Secondary)</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>Additional 150 new places planned. Currently 1500 places offered. Current biggest year group - 300 students. Date of expansion – September 2016</p> <p>It should be noted that for all of the schools which have experienced expansion there remains a maximum of 30 pupils per class. This is subject to admissions appeals where they may in exceptional circumstances be compelled to go over 30.</p>
21261	05/11/2014	<p>Subject : Council Tax Liability</p> <p>In April 2013 the Council Tax Benefits scheme was devolved to local authorities and the amount of money provided by central government to fund local Council Tax Support schemes was reduced. In April 2014 the overall amount of money provided by central government was reduced further. Some people are now paying council tax for the first time and others are paying increased council tax.</p> <p>(1)Of those people not in receipt of a discount of 100% on their council tax as a result of either the changes in 2013 or 2014, how many are currently in arrears?</p> <p>(2)How many of those in arrears are (a) a registered carer, (b) in receipt of any recorded disability-related benefits, (c) in receipt of a war widow/widower's pension or (d) a war disablement pension?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. 5,102</p> <p>2a. 333</p> <p>2b. 775</p> <p>2c. 0</p> <p>2d. 1</p>
21264	10/11/2014	<p>Subject : Newham Pension Fund</p> <p>Please provide a full breakdown of Newham's pooled funds. (Previous Request E18890)</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the requested breakdown of information in the attached document.</p>

21320	10/11/2014	<p>Subject : Parking Enforcement Information</p> <p>Parking Enforcement - The Grove</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Copy of the relevant traffic order 2. Complete copy of video recordings including still images from time stopped and left the loading bay 3. Proof the camera in use is an approved device 4. Proof the recording cameras were in full working order on the day and time of the alleged contravention. 5. Proof the recording camera was operated by a fully trained and qualified operator. 6. Proof of clear and visible camera warning signs in the location where PCN was issued. 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Please find Traffic Order enclosed. 2) Please find video stills and video recording enclosed. 3) Please find approved device certificates enclosed. 4) The photo stills are dated and timed. 5) CCTV operators are B-Tech qualified. 6) Warning signs for non-speeding fines are not a legal requirement.
21307	10/11/2014	<p>Subject: Highways Maintenance</p> <p>Can we please have sight of your inspection records dated August 2012 and June 2013?</p> <p>Location: Junction of Shaftesbury Road and Ashley Road, E7 8PE and outside No. 45 Shaftesbury Road.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find attached the inspection records for the requested location at the junction of Shaftesbury Road and Ashley Road for the requested timeframe.</p> <p>There are no records for the specific location outside 45 Shaftesbury Road.</p>
21269	10/11/2014	<p>Subject : Stratford Data</p> <p>Please can you send me data on the average household income in Stratford, and average house price across Stratford between 2003 and 2013?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Newham statistical data is already made publicly available on the Newham website. For your reference, please see the relevant web link below.</p> <p>www.newham.info</p> <p>Income data for the borough is available but we do not hold this information down to ward level, in this case specific to the Stratford area.</p> <p>House price data is publicly available and retrievable through independent internet searches. The Land Registry would be a good starting point. It should however be noted that information is likely to be held at borough level and may not be collated or recorded</p>

			below this. We do not hold the requested information at ward level.
21267	19/01/2015	<p>Subject : Policy -S.17 Children's Act</p> <p>Would you please supply me with the following information:</p> <p>1.Copies of your policy and equality impact assessment on supporting families with no recourse to public funds under section 17 of the 1989 Children's Act</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find attached a copy of the Council's Policy and practice guidance in respect of those with no recourse to public funds.</p> <p>As the policy has recently been revised an Equality Impact Assessment has yet to be completed but will be done so in the next six months.</p> <p>For your reference completed EIA assessments are publicly available on the Newham website. Please see the relevant web link below;</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Equalities-assessment-and-consultation.aspx</p>
21268	12/11/2014	<p>Subject : Homelessness - Street Work</p> <p>Would you please supply me with the following information:</p> <p>2. Copies of your policy and equality impact assessment on homelessness and details of any contracts held with organisations providing street based outreach work with homeless persons and street sleepers.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Council's policy and equality impact assessment on homelessness can be found on the Newham website:</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Documents/Council%20and%20Democracy/EqIAHousingAllocationsScheme2012.doc</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Homelessness-advice-and-support.aspx</p> <p>Please find attached a copy of the contract for outreach work with homeless persons.</p> <p>Please note we have redacted the names of officers from the records who may be affected by the disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p> <p>Section 40 of the FOIA provides an absolute exemption where disclosure of personal data about individuals would contravene any of the data protection principles set out in the Data Protection Act 1998. Therefore there is no requirement to consider the public interest in disclosure.</p>

			<p>Furthermore, we consider that the information contained within the contract relating to contract costs to be commercially sensitive and therefore withhold it under section 43 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA). Under Section 43, information is exempt from disclosure if releasing it would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).</p> <p>The information requested relates to the specific negotiated rates between the Council and external providers. We consider that in disclosing the contract amount and other financial information would be likely to weaken the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations. This could potentially affect the council's income and budget and essentially, the availability of financial resources for residents and in the delivery of the Council's services.</p> <p>In considering the public interest test the London Borough of Newham has regard to the benefits of maintaining a healthy bidding position during any procurement process, the need to attract a wide range of bidders confident with the way in which the Council would handle their information and how this may affect the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations.</p> <p>We acknowledge that the public interest is served by promoting transparency in the accountability of public funds, ensuring that public money is being used effectively and that the Council is getting value for money when entering into commercial transactions with companies. On the other hand, however, we recognise and consider that there is a greater public interest in maintaining confidentiality and protecting commercially sensitive information, release of which could damage commercial status in future negotiations, including that of the London Borough of Newham. As such, we consider that maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.</p>
21263	14/11/2014	<p>Subject : Fostering</p> <p>1. Who, within the Local Authority, has commissioning responsibility for Fostering placements for Looked After Children? Please provide a name, job title and contact details.</p> <p>Please provide the following information related to</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Who, within the Local Authority, has commissioning responsibility for Fostering placements for Looked After Children? Please provide a name, job title and contact details.</p> <p>The Commissioning Lead for Placements is Saleena Sreedharan.</p>

	<p>Fostering placements for Looked After Children per year for years ending 31 March 2010 to 2014.</p> <p>2. The number of children cared for by a foster carer provided by the Council</p> <p>3. The number of children cared for by a foster carer arranged through an independent fostering agency</p> <p>4. The number of children cared for in a residential children's home</p> <p>5. The number of children cared for in a residential school</p> <p>6. Gross total expenditure on fostering services for Looked After Children (Please provide actual figures for financial years 2009/10 to 2013/14, as well as budgeted expenditure for 2014/15)</p> <p>7. Gross total expenditure on residential care for Looked After Children (Please provide actual figures for financial years 2009/10 to 2013/14, as well as budgeted expenditure for 2014/15)</p>	<p>Saleena.sreedharan@newham.gov.uk Tel : 0208 430 2000 Children's Social Care and Safeguarding - London Borough of Newham 2nd floor East Wing, Newham Dockside, 1000 Dockside Road, London E16 2QU</p> <p>Please provide the following information related to Fostering placements for Looked After Children per year for years ending 31 March 2010 to 2014.</p> <p>Please see below the year end figures retrieved from our system</p> <p>2. The number of children cared for by a foster carer provided by the Council</p> <p>31/03/2010 = 114 31/03/2011 = 101 31/03/2012 = 109 31/03/2013 = 130 31/03/2014 = 138</p> <p>3. The number of children cared for by a foster carer arranged through an independent fostering agency</p> <p>31/03/2010 = 281 31/03/2011 = 245 31/03/2012 = 223 31/03/2013 = 171 31/03/2014 = 152</p> <p>4. The number of children cared for in a residential children's home</p> <p>31/03/2010 = 56 31/03/2011 = 47 31/03/2012 = 36</p>
--	--	---

31/03/2013 = 40
31/03/2014 = 39

5. The number of children cared for in a residential school

31/03/2010 = 7
31/03/2011 = 10
31/03/2012 = 11
31/03/2013 = 8
31/03/2014 = 8

6. Gross total expenditure on fostering services for Looked After Children (Please provide actual figures for financial years 2009/10 to 2013/14, as well as budgeted expenditure for 2014/15)

Year	Budget	Gross Total
2009/10	14,885,850	15,966,781
2010/11	13,885,300	14,540,756
2011/12	13,127,700	14,149,335
2012/13	13,474,000	12,429,368
2013/14	12,131,800	Not yet available

Please see the 2014/15 Budget Book available online for the general funding allocations for Council services.
<http://www.newham.gov.uk/Documents/Council%20and%20Democracy/BudgetBook201415.pdf>

The exact budgets for specific service and team have not as yet been finalised.

7. Gross total expenditure on residential care for Looked After Children (Please provide actual figures for financial years 2009/10 to 2013/14, as well as budgeted expenditure for 2014/15)

Year	Budget	Gross Total
2009/10	11,071,300	11,760,301
2010/11	11,009,200	10,724,289
2011/12	10,033,800	10,840,081
2012/13	10,033,500	14,042,500
2013/14	7,975,700	Not yet available

Please see the 2014/15 Budget Book available online for the general funding allocations for Council services.
<http://www.newham.gov.uk/Documents/Council%20and%20Democracy/BudgetBook201415.pdf>

The exact budgets for specific service and team have not as yet been finalised.

21303

12/11/2014

Subject: Contracts

1. The full names and addresses of all contractors,

Summary:

We do not hold a list of sub contractors or a record of our contractors/ sub contractors'

		<p>including any sub-contractors that have been operating for, or awarded contracts by the London Borough of Newham since January 2011.</p> <p>2. The total number of staff employed by each of the contractors and sub-contractors listed in point 1 above.</p> <p>3. The length and expiry dates of all contracts awarded or operated since the above date.</p>	<p>staff numbers.</p> <p>Attached is a copy of our contracts register.</p>
21310	17/12/2014	<p>Subject: Road Condition Claims</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 I seek the following information regarding damage to vehicles caused by poor road conditions on roads under your local authority administration for each of the past two financial years: for the financial years 2012/13 and 2013/14.</p> <p>1) The total number of claims for vehicle damage caused by or attributed to the condition of your road network (such as potholes).</p> <p>2) The total monetary value of the claims for vehicle damage caused by or attributed to the condition of your road network (such as potholes).</p> <p>3) The total number of successful claims for vehicle damage caused by or attributed to the condition of your road network (such as potholes).</p> <p>4) The total monetary value of the successful claims for vehicle damage caused by or attributed to your condition of the road network (such as potholes).</p> <p>5) The administrative cost to your local authority of a single claim (regardless if it is successful or not).</p> <p>6) The number of man-hours taken to administer these claims by your local authority (regardless if they are successful or not).</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please note, the below figures are for claims occurring between 01/04/12 to 31/03/13 (2012/13) and 01/04/13 to 31/03/14 (2013/14). Please also note, the total cost includes other fees, such as legal fees, and is not solely the cost of repairing vehicle damage.</p> <p>1) 2012/13 = 69 2013/14 = 39</p> <p>2) 2012/13 = £37,498.09 2013/14 = £23,864.10</p> <p>3) 2012/13 = 18 2013/14 = 3</p> <p>4) 2012/13 = £15,744.09 2013/14 = £1654.10</p> <p>5) It is difficult to quantify this as it varies for each claim.</p> <p>6) As above.</p>

21312	12/11/2014	<p>Subject: Social Housing</p> <p>I would like to be provided with the following information for each of the last four completed financial years:</p> <p>1) The number of social housing units that your council has sold for redevelopment (please exclude purchases by tenants under right-to-buy)</p> <p>2) The number of social housing units required to be built by the purchasing developers in place of those sold.</p> <p>NB. Please include in this response any housing that is handled by a semi-autonomous organisation, such as a housing association.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. None</p> <p>2. As above.</p>
21317	14/11/2014	<p>Subject : Trade Union Representation</p> <p>Under Freedom of Information legislation, I would be grateful if you could advise of the following:</p> <p>i) How many full-time and part-time employees of the Council are currently engaged as trades' union representatives?</p> <p>ii) What is the current total workforce of the Council?</p> <p>iii) How many full-time and part-time employees of the Council were engaged as trades union representatives in 2010?</p> <p>iv) What was the total workforce of the Council in 2010?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under Freedom of Information legislation, I would be grateful if you could advise of the following:</p> <p>1. How many full-time and part-time employees of the Council are currently engaged as trade union representatives?</p> <p>There are currently six officers that are released on a full time basis to act as Branch Secretary and Branch Chair of each of the three main recognised trade unions; GMB, Unite and Unison.</p> <p>2. What is the current total workforce of the Council?</p> <p>The current workforce of Newham Council, as of 27th October 2014, is 5,092.</p> <p>3. How many full-time and part-time employees of the Council were engaged as trade union representatives in 2010?</p>

			<p>In September 2010 there were nine officers released on a full time basis, three for each of the three main recognised trade unions; GMB, Unite and Unison.</p> <p>4. What was the total workforce of the Council in 2010?</p> <p>The number of employees in 2010 was 6,073.</p>
21316	12/11/2014	<p>Subject : Street Lighting</p> <p>1) How many street lights do you have in your local authority area? 2) How many street lights in your local authority area have been permanently turned off (or removed) since May 2010? 3) How many are currently turned off for any period during the evening or night time? (3a) What was the corresponding figure in May 2010? 4) How many street lights currently operate with reduced brightness? (4a) What was the corresponding figure in May 2010?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. There are approximately 18,000 lamp columns on the public highway controlled by the Council within the London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>There has been considerable change in some areas of the Borough over the past few years, particularly in relation to the Olympic Games 2012. New columns have been installed on new highways and older columns will have either been replaced or relocated as junctions, carriageways and footpaths are modified. Other work has taken place elsewhere in the borough. There are also areas of the Borough where roads and/or footpaths have yet to be adopted from development.</p> <p>2. No street lights have been permanently switched off since May 2010. There may have been instances where there may be faults pending repair or replacement or the area is subject to redevelopment but no street lights have intentionally been permanently switched off where they are in areas of normal operation.</p> <p>3. Zero. The Council does not turn off any of its lighting on the public highway during the evenings or at night time. This also applied in May 2010.</p> <p>4. Zero. The Council does not operate a programme of the systematic reduction of lighting levels. This also applied in May 2010.</p>
21314	10/11/2014	<p>Subject : Business Rates Accounts</p> <p>I would like to request the following information to be</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the</p>

		<p>supplied under the Freedom of Information Act.</p> <p>Could you please supply the details of all businesses / Non Domestic Rate payers within your Local Authority, I am seeking to source the following information : -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Business Account reference - Business Name - Address - Postcode - Account Holder - Telephone number - Their Rateable Value - What if any relief they receive? SBRR, RETAIL, RURAL relief etc. - The date the account holder became liable for NON DOMESTIC RATES - Also please include all businesses THAT ARE NOT currently receiving any form of local support / relief from your respective authority - The most current and up to date list you have (ideally from Sept 2014 onwards) 	<p>detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the relief awarded. Operationally we do not require our systems to be compiling such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to the receipt of relief. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>The general information requested in relation to business rates accounts is already accessible through the information publicly available on the Valuation Office Agency website. Please see the relevant web link below. http://www.voa.gov.uk</p>						
21313	12/11/2014	<p>Subject: Gritting/ salting budget</p> <p>1. Can you please tell me how much you spent on road and pavement gritting/ salting in each of the past four years.</p> <p>2. How much have you budgeted for road and pavement gritting/ salting this year?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the table below which details the annual expenditure on Winter maintenance over the last four years, and budgeted for the current year.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1086 1257 2065 1351"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Fiscal set</th> <th>Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>Actual Expenditure</td> <td>£451,075</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Fiscal set	Amount	2010	Actual Expenditure	£451,075
Year	Fiscal set	Amount							
2010	Actual Expenditure	£451,075							

			<p>2011 Actual Expenditure £326,847</p> <p>2012 Actual Expenditure £390,441</p> <p>2013 Actual Expenditure £347,912</p> <p>2014 Budgeted Expenditure £302,400</p> <p>Expenditure is dependent upon the needs, driven by the weather.</p>
21308	13/11/2014	<p>Subject: Child obesity and physical activity</p> <p>I'm currently doing some research on childhood obesity and levels of physical activity among London's children and young people, and I am particularly interested in statistics for the Olympic Boroughs. I would be grateful if you would provide any information you may have on childhood obesity and physical activity in your Borough covering the last decade.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Response sent to requester</p>
21318	12/11/2014	<p>Subject: Non-Domestic Rate</p> <p>We therefore request a breakdown of credit balances as at 01/10/14 accrued since your earliest records, for the amounts owing to all "incorporated" companies within the authorities billing area, including</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The name of each business in respect of which Non-Domestic Rate credit balances remain payable; • The value of overpayment in each case which remains unclaimed; • The year(s) in which overpayment was made; and • The hereditament address 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>We consider that the information requested in respect of the names and addresses of the rate payers and businesses of these properties which are in credit would be exempt under Section 31 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p> <p>This exemption applies because the release of these details would prejudice the prevention or detection of crime. Credits can be provided to companies in a variety of ways, including directly to a company representative by cash or cheque. Due to the limited means of</p>

			<p>personal verification it is possible that credits could be claimed fraudulently.</p> <p>It has been concluded that disclosure of this information is not in the public interest as the disclosure of these details may lead to criminal activities such as fraud.</p>
21311	12/11/2014	<p>Subject: Obese children taken into care</p> <p>Under Freedom of Information, please provide figures for the last three years on the number of children taken into care by the council with the primary reason for care order being obesity</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The London Borough of Newham records a number of descriptive factors as the basis for admitting children into care, recorded on our systems. The specific term, 'obesity' is not one of those which is inputted through our computer systems.</p> <p>It is therefore not possible to draw information in a reporting format from our computer systems as to whether the primary contributory factor of obesity, may have been considered in initiating care proceedings. It is not possible to contain this level of detail in our reporting computer systems nor is there an available search facility from which a report may be drawn.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information it would be necessary for an officer to manually interrogate each individual file for each of the care proceedings which have commenced in the last three years to determine as to whether obesity may have been cited at any point in the history of the case. Each case file varies in both complexity and volume of documentation. A thorough reading and review of each file would be required to definitively identify as to whether this factor had been cited at any point in the proceedings. Such manual retrieval of information would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>

			Based on intelligence within the service, it would be very rare for a child to be made subject to care order for the primary reason of 'obesity'.
21315	12/11/2014	<p>Subject: Pension Fund data</p> <p>1. FOI Request for aggregated pension fund data</p> <p>Please provide the sum total of pension fund investment, and details of what the (combined) Council Pension Fund currently invests in, including the full name and amounts of each fund, bond, institution or investment being held, broken down by asset class/ category, and presented in Microsoft excel format for the 2014/15 financial year (or most current available data set).</p> <p>2. Request for breakdown of contributing local authority pension funds, by authority</p> <p>Where applicable, we are interested in the amounts contributed by each of the local councils invested in the combined pension fund. We have sent this request to you the fund manager as we expect that the data for each contributing council will be recorded within your systems. Could you please ensure that the differing levels of contribution are reflected within the disclosure?</p> <p>Where a combined pension fund is managed on behalf of multiple public authorities, please provide a full breakdown of total investment assets, company, fund or bond holding by authority, categorised by asset class.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please find attached spreadsheet relating to aggregated pension fund data.</p> <p>2. Not applicable, as Newham does not have any combined funds with any other Local Authority.</p>
21304	12/11/2014	<p>Subject: Request for Minutes of Development Control Committee</p> <p>Can you please send me the full meeting minutes of the</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the link below where the full minutes of the requested meeting can be located</p>

		<p>following including pages 37-48: Meeting: Development Control Committee, Wednesday 7th Nov 2007, Time:6.30 PM, Venue: Council Chamber, Newham Town Hall, East Ham E6 2RP Client IP: 81.149.247.121</p>	<p>on the Newham website.</p> <p>Meeting https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CIId=398&MID=5464</p> <p>Minutes https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/documents/g5474/Printed%20minutes%2007th-Nov-2007%2018.30%20Development%20Control%20Committee.pdf?T=1</p>
21328	12/11/2014	<p>Subject : In House School Catering Service</p> <p>I would like to request the following information under the FOI Act:</p> <p>Do you provide an in-house School Meals Service to Schools?</p> <p>Please provide a structure chart of the catering service including all central staff, administrators, area managers and school staff.</p> <p>Please provide job descriptions for all of the posts within this structure.</p> <p>Please provide the following information about the in house meals service for years 2013/14 and 2014/15.</p> <p>Total number of schools accessing the service Number of secondary schools Number of special schools Number of primary schools (including infant, junior)</p> <p>Total revenue income from paid, free and universal infant free school meals(estimated for FY 2014/15)</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. Please see attached. 3. Please see attached. Please note, all other job specifications within the Newham Cleaning and Catering Services (NCCS) structure deal with all services provided by the organisation and not solely catering. 4. We consider that the information requested in relation to in-house meals service to be commercially sensitive, and therefore withhold it under section 43 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA). Under Section 43, information is exempt from disclosure if releasing it would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it). <p>The information requested relates to the specific negotiated rates between the Council and external providers. The general annual income coupled with other information you have requested, i.e. number of schools and meals services, would be likely to weaken the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations. This could potentially affect the council's income and budget and essentially, the availability of financial resources for residents and in the delivery of the Council's services.</p> <p>In considering the public interest test the London Borough of Newham has regard to the benefits of maintaining a healthy bidding position during any procurement process, the need to attract a wide range of bidders confident with the way in which the Council would handle their information and how this may affect the Council's bargaining position during</p>

		Total number of meals provided in schools(estimated for FY 2014/15)	<p>future contractual negotiations.</p> <p>We acknowledge that the public interest is served by promoting transparency in the accountability of public funds, ensuring that public money is being used effectively and that the Council is getting value for money when entering into commercial transactions with companies. On the other hand, however, we recognise and consider that there is a greater public interest in maintaining confidentiality and protecting commercially sensitive information, release of which could damage commercial status in future negotiations, including that of the London Borough of Newham. As such, we consider that maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.</p>
21330	17/11/2014	<p>Subject : Further Newham Mag Response</p> <p>I would like to request a copy under the Freedom of Information Act, of the council's response to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government's proposed direction letter, dated 25th September 2014, regarding the publication of Newham Mag.</p> <p>Please see letter below: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/358963/newham_combined.pdf</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find attached a copy of our response to the letter stated above, forwarded on 7th October 2014.</p>
21331	17/11/2014	<p>Subject : Needle Exchange Provision</p> <p>This information is sought under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA 2000).</p> <p>1.The names and addresses for each current needle and syringe programme in your local authority – including specialist services, mobile and outreach services, and pharmacy-based exchanges.</p> <p>2.For each current service identified in the question above: a. The contact details.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please see table below:</p> <p>Pharmacy Based Service Address & Opening Times Accountable Pharmacist Telephone Number</p> <p>Bell Pharmacy 995 Romford Road, Manor Park, E12 5JR Mon, Tues, Wed, Fri, 9am- 7pm</p>

		<p>b. The type of service (i.e. fixed, specialist service; mobile service; outreach service; or pharmacy-based service).</p> <p>c. The opening days and hours for needle and syringe distribution.</p> <p>3. Whether 'take-home naloxone' is provided directly to people who use drugs and/or their peers/partners to help prevent overdoses in your local authority.</p> <p>4. Whether the number of needle and syringe programmes, and the overall days and hours when needle and syringe provision is available, have increased, decreased or remained the same since 2010 in your local authority.</p> <p>5. Whether, in the last drug service tender process for your local authority, needle and syringe programmes were explicitly included in the tender scope and documentation.</p>	<p>Thurs, Sat 9am- 4pm 020 8478 2861</p> <p>Britannia Pharmacy 6 Church Street, E15 3HX Mon, Tues, wed and Fri 9am-7pm Thurs 9am-5pm Saturday 9am-1pm 020 8534 3104</p> <p>Britannia Pharmacy 62a Leytonstone Road, E15 1SQ Mon, Tues, Wed, Fri 9am-7pm Thurs 9am-6pm Sat 9am-1pm 020 8555 8403</p> <p>Jetsol The Hub, 123 Star Lane, E16 4PZ Mon, Tues, Wed, Fri 9am-7pm Thurs 9am-1pm Sat 9am-5pm 020 7476 1667</p> <p>LRM/Medina Pharmacy 229 Plashet Road, E13 OQJ Mon, Tues, Wed, Fri 9am-6.30pm Thurs 9am-2pm Sat 10am-2pm</p>
--	--	---	--

020 8552 2731

Manor Park Pharmacy
683 Romford Road,
E12 5AD
Mon, Tues, Wed, Fri 9am-7pm
Thurs 9am-6pm
Sat 9am- 1pm

020 8553 4622

Munro Pharmacy
303 Green Street,
E13 9AR
Mon- Fri 9am-8pm
Sat- 9am-7pm
Sun 10am-6pm
020 8471 2575

Newmans/S&A Pharmacy
376 Barking Road,
E13 8HL
Mon- Fri 9am-6pm
Sat 9am-5.30pm
020 7476 1326

Rohpharm Pharmacy
Unit 1, Opus Studios, 212 Plaistow Road, E13 OAL
Mon - Fri 9.30am-6pm
Sat 9.30am - 4pm
020 8471 1040

Sai Pharmacy
150-152 High Street North,
E6 2HT
Mon- Sat 9am-6.30pm

			<p>020 8552 8955</p> <p>Vicarage Pharmacy 10 Vicarage Lane, E15 4ES Mon-Fri 9am- 8pm Sat 9am- 5pm Sun 11am-3pm 020 8555 1564</p> <p>Specialist Based Service Address Needle Exchange Co-ordinator Telephone Number</p> <p>CRI 327 High Street, Stratford, London E15 2UL Mon- Fri 10am-4pm 0800 652 3879</p> <p>2. Please see table above.</p> <p>3. We do not offer take-home Naloxone from any of the sites.</p> <p>4. The contracts were provided by the PCT; therefore we are unable to advice about the contracts from 2010 to 2013. However, they remained the same from April 2013 when they were passed over to the Local Authority.</p> <p>5. Yes, the Needle Exchange provision was explicitly included in the specification and contract. This included management and support of the pharmacy-based needle exchanges and delivery of site-based needle exchange.</p>
21329	17/11/2014	<p>Officer Subject : Voting - Returning</p> <p>1. How many proxy votes were issued by the London</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. A total of 171 proxy votes were issued for the May 2014 Local Elections.</p>

		<p>borough of Newham in the recent May 2014 local elections for mayor and councillors?</p> <p>2. Is the returning officer for the May 2014 local elections in the employment of the London borough of Newham - the local authority?</p>	<p>2. Yes</p>
21334	18/11/2014	<p>Subject : Road Closures - Traffic Orders</p> <p>This request relates to a road closure on Royal Albert Way between Stansfield Road and Gallions roundabout on 18 October 2014 between 22:00 - 03:00.</p> <p>- Please can I have a copy of the Temporary Traffic Regulation Order (TTRO) for the closure listed above?</p> <p>- The location of any notices placed stating the intention to close the road, and the date this was placed, plus any subsequent checks on its location.</p> <p>- The location of any notices placed stating the TTRO had been made relating to the above, and the date of this, plus any subsequent checks on its location.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>There were no notices placed stating the intention to close the road on any roads as it was advertised in the Newham Recorder: http://www.newhamrecorder.co.uk/news/travel/beckton_road_closed_to_disrupt_car_meet_1_3811266</p> <p>There was no temporary traffic order relating to this closure as an existing traffic management order allows for night time closures. We have attached a copy for your reference.</p>
21335	17/11/2014	<p>Subject : WAN Contract</p> <p>Can you please provide the details of your Wide Area Network contract and who provides it? Also:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. When was this contract awarded? 2. What is the end date? 3. What products & services are being procured? 4. What is the value of the contract? 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Can you please provide the details of your Wide Area Network contract and who provides it?</p> <p>The Council has its own dark fibre wide area network, A small number of sites are connected by WAN using SIP trunks and internet pipes. These are provided by Daisy.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. When was this contract awarded? 17th October 2011

			<p>2. What is the end date? 17th October 2016</p> <p>3. What products & services are being procured? Internet access with SIP trunks</p> <p>4. What is the value of the contract? £145,750</p>
21340	18/11/2014	<p>Subject : Young Mayor</p> <p>I was hoping to find some information as to how much the young mayor project costs the council.</p> <p>If possible, could I please have any information relating to the aforementioned issue?</p> <p>In particular, how the young mayor's budget has been spent this year, the employment of peoples in running the project, and the expenditure of the project.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>How the Young Mayor's budget has been spent this year?</p> <p>The Young Mayor's budget of £25,000 has been used to deliver the Young Mayor's priorities. This has included three campaigns relating to his manifesto; The Big Debate, Water Sports and an Enterprise programme.</p> <p>The employment of people in running the project</p> <p>No additional staff are employed to run the Young Mayor's projects and all support provided is drawn from the existing resources of the Mayors Office in support of the youth council.</p> <p>The expenditure of the project</p> <p>The expenditure of funds for the delivery of the project from this financial year totals to £2,837.</p>
21346	17/11/2014	<p>Subject : Council Tax</p> <p>Please provide answers to the following questions: 1. How many court summons for non-payment of Council tax were issued from the start of the 2014/15 financial year up until the end of September 2014?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. How many court summons for non-payment of Council tax were issued from the start of the 2014/15 financial year up until the end of September 2014? 15,424</p> <p>2. Of those summonsed how many received Council Tax Support? 5,052</p>

		<p>2. Of those summonsed how many received Council Tax Support?</p> <p>3. Of those summonsed in receipt of Council Tax Support how many were charged court costs and what was the total amount of those costs?</p> <p>4.Of those Council Tax Support claimants with a liability order issued against them how many:</p> <p>a. Had deduction from benefits requested?</p> <p>b. Had deduction from earnings requested?</p> <p>c. Had bailiffs engaged for enforcement?</p>	<p>3. Of those summonsed in receipt of Council Tax Support how many were charged court costs and what was the total amount of those costs? 4,601 accounts with associated costs of £395,386.33</p> <p>4.Of those Council Tax Support claimants with a liability order issued against them how many:</p> <p>a. Had deduction from benefits requested? 574</p> <p>b. Had deduction from earnings requested? 49</p> <p>c. Had bailiffs engaged for enforcement? 437</p> <p>Every effort is made to come to a suitable repayment arrangement with the council tax payer before taking enforcement action. The use of enforcement agent action is seen as a last resort and only where despite our efforts we have had no response from the individual or have been unable to agree a repayment arrangement.</p>
21348	18/11/2014	<p>Subject : Highways Work - Utilities</p> <p>We are currently contacting all of the councils in the UK to update our list of statutory undertakers (utility companies – electricity, water/sewers, gas, telecoms) operating in each council area.</p> <p>Please can you provide us with an up to date list of all of the known statutory undertakers currently operating in your area/with plant (utility apparatus) in your area?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached list.</p>
21332	13/11/2014	<p>Subject : Business Rates Credits</p> <p>I hereby request a list of Business Rate accounts that meet the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Current overpayment/credit shown for any financial year if credit balance hasn't been carried forward. 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We consider that the information requested in respect of the names and addresses of the rate payers and businesses of these properties which are in credit would be exempt under Section 31 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Accounts where a 'write on' has been used since 1st April 2000 to cancel an overpayment which has not since been reversed. <p>I would request that the list contains the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Ratepayer name (Information is only requested where the ratepayer is a company and not an individual as I appreciate this is limited by the Data Protection Acts). •Address of property concerned. •Amount of overpayment/write on. •If possible, the period/financial year relating to overpayment/credit/write on. 	<p>This exemption applies because the release of these details would prejudice the prevention or detection of crime. Credits can be provided to companies in a variety of ways, including directly to a company representative by cash or cheque. Due to the limited means of personal verification it is possible that credits could be claimed fraudulently.</p> <p>It has been concluded that disclosure of this information is not in the public interest as the disclosure of these details may lead to criminal activities such as fraud.</p>
21337	13/11/2014	<p>Subject : Credits</p> <p>Could check if any of GE's subsidiaries show on the account - Re: Credits.</p> <p>Letter of general authority previously provided.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the 500+ companies you have requested information for. Operationally we do not require our systems to compile such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, to be able to identify and manually compile a list. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>

			<p>Notwithstanding the above, we would not release information on credits in accordance with Section 41 of the FOI Act and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>Section 41 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 states:</p> <p>“41. (1) Information is exempt information if – (a) it was obtained by the public authority from any other person (including another public authority), and (b) the disclosure of the information to the public (otherwise than under this Act) would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by that or any other person.</p> <p>(2) The duty to confirm or deny does not arise if, or to the extent that, the confirmation or denial that would have to be given to comply with section 1(1) (a) would (apart from this Act) constitute an actionable breach of confidence.”</p> <p>In order for this exemption to apply, the information must have been obtained from another person or public authority and disclosure of it would mean that London borough of Newham would be open to legal action for a breach of confidence.</p> <p>London Borough of Newham is relieved of the duty to ‘confirm or deny’ whether the information is held if doing so would result in a breach of confidence as outlined above.</p>
21333	18/11/2014	<p>Subject : Universal Free School Meals</p> <p>Please disclose under the Freedom of Information Act:</p> <p>What was the take-up of universal infant free school meals in primary/infant schools across the authority on school census day on October 2?</p> <p>If this information is unavailable, what is the authority’s current best estimate of take-up of UIFSM?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Newham has been offering Free School Meals for all our primary aged pupils since 2009.</p> <p>The School Census Day data is still yet to be finalised and we therefore do not currently hold this data for this date.</p> <p>The latest figure we hold for the UIFSM uptake is 86% during the last week of September.</p>
21380	18/11/2014	<p>Subject : Assistance - Domestic Violence</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

I am requesting the following information under the Freedom of Information Act:

1. The total combined funding provided for women's supported housing services or supported housing services for victims (or those at risk) of domestic/sexual violence, for each year in 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15
2. For each year in 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15, please list all providers of the supported housing services referred to in question 1 (ie for women and/or for victims and those at risk of DSV), the amount of council funding each provider received each year for delivering supported housing services, and what specific supported housing services the funding was for (e.g. floating support for domestic violence victims). Where a provider received funding under separate contracts for different services, please list each contract separately

1. The total combined funding provided for women's supported housing services or supported housing services for victims (or those at risk) of domestic/sexual violence, for each year in 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15

Answer: Please see table below. Please note, in 2013 the DSV Refuge service was re-commissioned. We were able to maintain the same level of resource (25 units) for a reduced cost. This was done through a joint framework commissioning process. The services have been redesigned to ensure that there is greater scope for helping victims develop skills to live safely and independently again. In addition we now fund per person rather than a block contract which supports better value for money.

Year	Funding
2014/15 - date	£113,416
2013/14 (9th August 2013 to 31st March 2014 - Newly tendered service)	£128,328
2013/14 (1st April 2013 to 8th August 2013)	£145,300
2012/13	£413,201
2011/12	£413,201
2010/11	£413,201

2. For each year in 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15, please list all providers of the supported housing services referred to in question 1 (ie for women and/or for victims and those at risk of DSV), the amount of council funding each provider received each year for delivering supported housing services, and what specific supported housing services the funding was for (e.g. floating support for domestic violence victims). Where a provider received funding under separate contracts for different services, please list each contract separately

Answer: Please see table below.

Providers and contract details for years 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13

Year

Newham Asian Women's Project (NAWP) Details

East Thames Newham Women's Refuge (NWR) Details

2013/14

(1st April 2013 to 8th August 2013) £84,508 14 units of refuge provision for women and children.

First and second stage service.

South Asian focussed service but will take women from any background.

£60,792

11 units of generic refuge provision for women and children.

Single stage only

2012/13 £240,321 £172,880

2011/12 £240,321 £172,880

2010/11 £240,321 £172,880

Providers and contract details for year 2013 to date

Year NAWP & NWR as a single service with NAWP as the lead provider Details about the specific supported housing service

2014/15 - date £113,416

There are 25 units (single stage accommodation only) of specific refuge provision but of these 14 units are for Black and Minority Ethnic Groups (BAMER). The service provides tailored support to service users, specifically designed to increase a person's ability to live more independently, increase social inclusion, maximise protection of women and/or children from significant harm and support them in gaining better outcomes.

			<p>The refuge service is intended for women and their children at risk of or fleeing domestic violence, who have a range of support needs. A single provider manages the contract to meet the full range of needs but works in partnership with providers who have the expertise to deliver specific elements in relation to BAMER</p> <p>The service is a resource which in delivering robust packages of support compliments the delivery of national and local strategies. Specifically the service will work in line with the individual Local Strategic Partnerships and Crime Reduction Plans. 9th August 2013 – 31st March 2014 (Newly tendered service) £128,328</p>
21351	13/11/2014	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>(a) List all Commercial properties and their addresses and state whether the account is live. (b) The names and addresses of the Rate payers referred to above for each property and their correspondence address (if different from the property address) (c) The billing authority reference for each property (d) The current liability payable for the year 2014/15. (e) The date the rateable occupier first became liable for the business rates (f) Please also state which hereditament is currently receiving I. Charitable rate relief (mandatory rate relief) II. Discretionary rate relief III. Empty property rate relief IV. Listed building exemption</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>We consider that the information requested in respect of the names and addresses of the rate payers and businesses of these properties which are in credit would be exempt under Section 31 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p> <p>This exemption applies because the release of these details would prejudice the prevention or detection of crime. Credits can be provided to companies in a variety of ways, including directly to a company representative by cash or cheque. Due to the limited means of personal verification it is possible that credits could be claimed fraudulently.</p> <p>It has been concluded that disclosure of this information is not in the public interest as the disclosure of these details may lead to criminal activities such as fraud.</p>
21353	26/11/2014	<p>Subject: Education Establishments and costs</p> <p>1. A complete anonymised list of all the nurseries, schools, colleges and other educational establishments (state and independent, mainstream and special, day and residential)</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Information on which schools have children with statements and the number of children with statements at each school each school is included in the Newham schools census and can be found on the DfE website.</p>

		<p>attended by children and young persons between 0-25 years old from the Borough of Newham who have Statements of Special Educational Needs or EHC plans (in both cases whether in draft or final) including the names of the school and the number of pupils attending each school;</p> <p>2. Whether they are attending those establishments as day or residential pupils;</p> <p>3. The total costs charged to the borough by each school in respect of each child including but not limited to fees and additional costs such as one to one assistants and charges for speech and language, OT, physiotherapy and other care but not including transport costs.</p> <p>4. Whether LB Newham pays for their transport and the amount paid for the transport in each case.</p>	<p>We are unable to provide information on children with draft statements and the schools they attend.</p> <p>There are no young people with statements or EHC plans who are attending colleges.</p> <p>2. All Newham children attend day provision.</p> <p>3. This information is not readily available. In order to retrieve this information it would be necessary for an officer to manually interrogate each individual file for each child in question. Each case file varies in both complexity and volume of documentation. A thorough reading and review of each file would be required to the costs as requested. Such manual retrieval of information would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>4. For each Newham child with or without a statement, transport need is assessed individually. Where there is a requirement for transport support the amount paid will vary based on individual need.</p> <p>For the reasons stated above, a manually retrieval of the costs for each child's transport would need to be obtained from their individual file, which would exceed the appropriate limit</p>
21356	17/11/2014	<p>Subject: Roads with Council Estate Buildings</p> <p>Please send me a list of the roads in your borough which</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

		have Council estate buildings.	Please see the attached sheet which lists the roads in the borough which have Council estate buildings located on them.						
21361	14/01/2015	<p>Subject: Direct Payments/ Personnel Budgets</p> <p>Please under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, please could you advise the following questions for analysis, these are.</p> <p>1. Please can you advise the total number of persons in support by either Direct Payments or Personnel Budgets in your Borough or CoL? The period in question are 2013-14 and current?</p> <p>2. Please can you advise the total number of persons represented by question 1, into two groups, these being Service Users and Carers?</p> <p>3. Please can you provide an analysis on question 1, (either % or numbers) by medical condition, using the headings of, Drug n Alcohol, Older People, Physical Disability, Learning Disability and Mental Health?</p> <p>4. What was or is the total budget allocated and spent for these periods, showing any over or underspend?</p> <p>5. What is the total cost of external support contracted to provide assistance in supporting Direct Payments or Personnel Budgets within the community for the respected periods? (Third sector or charity organisations as examples).</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please can you advise the total number of persons in support by either Direct Payments or Personnel Budgets in your Borough? The period in question are 2013-14 and current?</p> <p>Please see below details of people supported by Direct Payments or Personal Budgets in the requested years</p> <table> <tr> <td>2013-14</td> <td>:</td> <td>1,100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014-15 (as of 13.11.14)</td> <td>:</td> <td>974</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Please can you advise the total number of persons represented by question 1, into two groups, these being Service Users and Carers?</p> <p>Our computerised social care system is not capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to whether those in receipt of direct payments or personal budgets are service users or carers. Whilst this information is held it is not recorded on our systems in a way to enable a report to be drawn to show total numbers.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of the service users files/records to determine as to whether they are service user or carer. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for</p>	2013-14	:	1,100	2014-15 (as of 13.11.14)	:	974
2013-14	:	1,100							
2014-15 (as of 13.11.14)	:	974							

information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.

3. Please can you provide an analysis on Question 1, (either % or numbers) by medical condition, using the headings of, Drug n Alcohol, Older People, Physical Disability, Learning Disability and Mental Health?

All clients are allocated to client groups based on their assessed primary social care need; they can't be allocated to more than one category on our systems.

Drug & Alcohol

Aged 18-64	Drugs and Alcohol
Aged 65 +	Older People
Aged 18-64	Physical Disability
Aged 18-64	Learning Disability
Aged 18-64	Mental Health
Aged 18-64	Other
Total	

2013/14	2014/15
416	350
254	243
226	217
198	160
5	3
1,100	974

4. What was or is the total budget allocated and spent for these periods, showing any over or underspend

2013/14	
£11,750k	Budget
£11,750k	Spent

			<p>2014/15 Forecast £12,170k £12,170k</p> <p>5. What is the total cost of external support contracted to provide assistance in supporting Direct Payments or Personnel Budgets within the community for the respected periods? (Third sector or charity organisations as examples).</p> <p>The costs for Direct Payment support and Self Directed Support are included within individuals' personal budgets and the amount paid will vary depending on the level / type of service being purchased as well as the company which the individual chooses to use. We are therefore unable to provide this information.</p>
21362	19/11/2014	<p>Subject: Protection of puppies</p> <p>1. Please confirm the total number of complaints received concerning sick, dying or dead puppies (6 months old or under) in: a) 2011, b) 2012 and c) 2013.</p> <p>2. Please confirm in the last three years how many illegal landings of puppies have you received?</p> <p>3. Please confirm, where known, by what means are the sick puppies you encounter acquired? List all that apply:</p> <p>A. Pet shop B. Licensed breeder C. Unlicensed breeder D. Casual acquisition - pub, friend had a litter etc. E. Rescue</p> <p>4. Please confirm how many puppies have been seized by your team in trading standards in: a) 2011 b) 2012 c) 2013.</p> <p>5. Please confirm what legislation was used to seize the puppies? [please list all that applies]</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please confirm the total number of complaints received concerning sick, dying or dead puppies (6 months old or under) in: a) 2011, b) 2012 and c) 2013.</p> <p>We do not keep specific records regarding sick, dying or dead puppies and would expect such complaints to go to the RSPCA.</p> <p>2. Please confirm in the last three years how many illegal landings of puppies have you received?</p> <p>We do not hold records of any illegal landings of puppies. Please note the London Borough of Newham is not a Port Health Authority In 2014 one incident has been reported involving the importation of puppies and this is the subject of a current joint investigation with the Port Health Authority.</p> <p>3. Please confirm, where known, by what means are the sick puppies you encounter acquired? List all that apply: A. Pet shop B. Licensed breeder</p>

	<p>6. Please confirm how many prosecutions have been made by your trading standards as a result of miss old or dying puppies in: a) 2011 b) 2012 c) 2013.</p> <p>7. Please confirm where the majority of the puppies seized in 2013 originate from?</p> <p>A. Imported from Ireland B. Imported from EU countries C. Other (please specify)</p> <p>8. Please confirm how concerned the local authority is that the import of puppies from the continent could expose the UK to a significant risk of rabies?</p> <p>A. Very concerned B. Concerned C. Unconcerned</p> <p>9. Please confirm if the local authority has plans and facilities in place for the isolation of puppies if a shipment of sick puppies is discovered?</p> <p>10. Please confirm whether the local authority believes there is a growing problem with underage, sick or dying puppies being illegally imported into the UK?</p> <p>A. Yes B. No C. Don't know</p> <p>11. Please confirm whether the local authority believes that the current penalties for importing puppies illegally are harsh enough to act as a deterrent for puppy traders?</p>	<p>C. Unlicensed breeder D. Casual acquisition - pub, friend had a litter etc. E. Rescue</p> <p>The incident referred to in our response to Question 2 relates to an individual who imported animals with alleged links to a breeder/ pet shops.</p> <p>4. Please confirm how many puppies have been seized by your team in trading standards in: a) 2011 b) 2012 c) 2013.</p> <p>No puppies have been seized by our Trading Standards team in the requested years.</p> <p>During the incident referred to in our response to Question 2 puppies were seized, this was through joint working with the Port Health Authority and remains the subject of a current investigation.</p> <p>5. Please confirm what legislation was used to seize the puppies? [please list all that applies]</p> <p>During the incident referred to in our response to Question 2 puppies were seized under the Animal Health Act 1981/ The Rabies (importation of dogs, cats and mammals) Order 1974.</p> <p>6. Please confirm how many prosecutions have been made by your trading standards as a result of mis-sold or dying puppies in: a) 2011 b) 2012 c) 2013.</p> <p>No prosecutions have been made by our Trading Standards team in the requested years.</p> <p>7. Please confirm where the majority of the puppies seized in 2013 originate from?</p> <p>A. Imported from Ireland B. Imported from EU countries C. Other (please specify)</p>
--	--	---

	<p>A. Yes B. No C. Don't know</p> <p>12. Please confirm what the local authority believes should be done to try and stop puppy traffickers, both in terms of legislation and enforcement?</p> <p>13. Please provide any case studies/ anecdotes which you feel represent the concerns around bad breeding and the rise in illegal imports of puppies and the associated welfare problems.</p>	<p>Please see our response to Question 2. We do not hold this information.</p> <p>8. Please confirm how concerned the local authority is that the import of puppies from the continent could expose the UK to a significant risk of rabies? A. Very concerned B. Concerned C. Unconcerned</p> <p>This is not a valid request for recorded information under the Freedom of Information Act. Questions that seek to elicit comments or the expressions of opinion are not valid requests under the Act.</p> <p>9. Please confirm if the local authority has plans and facilities in place for the isolation of puppies if a shipment of sick puppies is discovered?</p> <p>The Council has a corporate Animal Disease emergency response plan but does not have its own animal isolation facilities.</p> <p>10. Please confirm whether the local authority believes there is a growing problem with underage, sick or dying puppies being illegally imported into the UK? A. Yes B. No C. Don't know</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 8.</p> <p>11. Please confirm whether the local authority believes that the current penalties for importing puppies illegally are harsh enough to act as a deterrent for puppy traders? A. Yes B. No C. Don't know</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 8.</p> <p>12. Please confirm what the local authority believes should be done to try and stop puppy traffickers, both in terms of legislation and enforcement?</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 8.</p> <p>13. Please provide any case studies/ anecdotes which you feel represent the concerns around bad breeding and the rise in illegal imports of puppies and the associated welfare</p>
--	---	--

			<p>problems.</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 8.</p>
21381	26/11/2014	<p>Subject : Domestic Violence Support</p> <p>Please list the providers of the funded services from 2010/11-2012/13 for Domestic Violence support.</p> <p>The provider of the Domestic and Sexual Violence Officer posts in 2013/14.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1) -Family Justice Centre (2010-11) -Independent Domestic Violence Advocacy Service (2011-2013) -Children DSV Service (2011-2013) -Refuge services (2010-2013)</p> <p>2) The post is internal.</p>
21382	18/11/2014	<p>Subject : Community Right to Bid</p> <p>I would be grateful if you could provide me with the following information either electronically or in hard copy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •the number of successful applications to your Local Authority of the Community Right to Bid subsequent to the passage of the Localism Act (2011) •the details of these successful bids; including how and when they were made, by which groups and in relation to which community assets, and the result •the total number of applications you have received, whether successful or unsuccessful, for the Community Right to Bid 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We have received two applications in line with the Community Right to Bid, since its introduction under the Localism Act (2011.)</p> <p>To date, these two applications remain under consideration and the outcome will be determined within the eight week decision making timeframe.</p>
21363	26/11/2014	<p>Subject: Looked after children placed in residential children's homes</p> <p>1. The total number of looked after children the council currently (as of, or around, today's date) has Corporate Parenting responsibility for, who are currently placed in</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. The total number of looked after children the council currently (as of, or around, today's date) has Corporate Parenting responsibility for, who are currently placed in residential children's homes (excluding fostering placements, secure children's homes, residential special schools registered as children's homes, children's homes that provide respite care</p>

		<p>residential children's homes (excluding fostering placements, secure children's homes, residential special schools registered as children's homes, children's homes that provide respite care or short breaks only, and unregulated settings). Please note this definition of children's homes is the same used by the Department for Education in collating children's homes data in the Children's Homes Data Pack.</p> <p>2. A breakdown of this total showing the number of placements within the local authority, and the number outside the local authority. Example table below:</p> <p>TABLE: Placements within the LA</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Home local authority eg Council name</th> <th>Number of placements x</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>eg Manchester</td> <td>x</td> </tr> <tr> <td>eg Sefton</td> <td>x</td> </tr> <tr> <td>eg York City</td> <td>x</td> </tr> <tr> <td>... and so on</td> <td>x</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>TABLE: Placements outside the LA</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Outside local authorities e.g. Manchester</th> <th>Number of placements x</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>eg Sefton</td> <td>x</td> </tr> <tr> <td>eg York City</td> <td>x</td> </tr> <tr> <td>... and so on</td> <td>x</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Home local authority eg Council name	Number of placements x	eg Manchester	x	eg Sefton	x	eg York City	x	... and so on	x	Outside local authorities e.g. Manchester	Number of placements x	eg Sefton	x	eg York City	x	... and so on	x	<p>or short breaks only, and unregulated settings). Please note this definition of children's homes is the same used by the Department for Education in collating children's homes data in the Children's Homes Data Pack.</p> <p>Answer: 34</p> <p>2. A breakdown of this total showing the number of placements within the local authority, and the number outside the local authority.</p> <p>Answer: See tables below.</p> <p>Placements within the Local Authority</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Newham Placements</th> <th>Count</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Residential home</td> <td>7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Placements outside the Local Authority</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Newham Placements</th> <th>Count</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Other London</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Essex</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Kent</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Suffolk</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Birmingham, Wales, Berkshire, Cambridgeshire, Leeds, Manchester, Surrey, Scotland, Staffordshire, Leicestershire, Norwich and Shropshire had 1 placement each.</p> <p>Total: 34</p>	Newham Placements	Count	Residential home	7	Newham Placements	Count	Other London	4	Essex	6	Kent	3	Suffolk	2
Home local authority eg Council name	Number of placements x																																		
eg Manchester	x																																		
eg Sefton	x																																		
eg York City	x																																		
... and so on	x																																		
Outside local authorities e.g. Manchester	Number of placements x																																		
eg Sefton	x																																		
eg York City	x																																		
... and so on	x																																		
Newham Placements	Count																																		
Residential home	7																																		
Newham Placements	Count																																		
Other London	4																																		
Essex	6																																		
Kent	3																																		
Suffolk	2																																		
21403	19/11/2014	<p>Subject: Spend on Public Health Funeral</p> <p>I would like to know the following:</p> <p>1. The number of public health funerals/environmental funerals paid for in part or in full by the council over the past 10 financial years (i.e. from 2004-05 to 2013-14) broken down with a figure for each individual year.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The answer for Questions and One and Two for the last 2 years is published on our website and is updated periodically: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Public-funerals.aspx</p> <p>To provide information for the previous 8 years would require an officer to manually collate and compile this information which would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p>																																

		<p>2. For each year I would also like to know the total amount that the council has spent funding these funerals.</p>	<p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
21395	19/11/2014	<p>Subject: Investments</p> <p>I am writing to ask for your help with a request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p> <p>On 5th May 2014 you kindly sent us Commitment, Contribution, Distribution, Value and IRR as of 31st March 2012 and 31st December 2012 of your private equity/venture capital funds. We were extremely grateful to receive this data, as it is very helpful for our research.</p> <p>Do you have more recent records, specifically Commitment, Contribution, Distribution, Value and IRR as of 31st March and 30th June 2013? This list should include all such partnerships, i.e. private equity, venture capital, distressed debt, mezzanine, fund of funds, real estate and private debt investments. If so, is it possible for you to send them to us?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached spreadsheets.</p>
21391	18/11/2014	<p>Subject: Parking charges and fines</p> <p>Would you please send me the following data:</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 21 exemption</p>

		<p>1. In total, how much did the local authority or any authorised contractor or sub-contractor receive in parking charges in each of the past three financial years? Please include all on-street, off-street parking charges and any other charges.</p> <p>2. In total, how much did the local authority or any authorised contractor or sub-contractor receive in parking fines in each of the past three financial years?</p>	<p>applies and have decided to refuse your request for information.</p> <p>Section 21 of the Act contains an exemption for information which is reasonably accessible by other means.</p> <p>The requested information is already publically available in the Parking Annual Reports published on the Newham website. Please see the relevant web page below</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Category/Parking.aspx</p>
21396	20/11/2014	<p>Subject: IT infrastructure to support delivery of CYPS</p> <p>Within the terms of the Freedom of Information Act, could you please provide responses to the Following questions which relate to services provided to support children and young people?</p> <p>Can you please provide the annual costs (2013/14) for the provision, maintenance and support of the IT infrastructure to support the delivery of children's services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Direct Children's Services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a)Infrastructure costs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Servers, switches, etc. • Operating system • Data Base Licensing b) Application <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • licencing • Annual Maintenance charges c) Staff cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Technical/infrastructure • Application Support <p>as well as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •recharges to corporate IT, in addition to the Direct costs, above 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We are unable to provide a breakdown by each item as requested, however the indicative costs for each category are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) £14,508 b) £105,072 c) £205,590 d) £834,700 e) and f) £274,800

		<p>Network and Infrastructure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Servers, switches, etc. • Operating system • Data Base Licensing <p>b) Application</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • licencing • Annual Maintenance costs <p>c) Staff cost</p> <p>technical/infrastructure application Support</p>	
21398	18/11/2014	<p>Subject: Shopping Centres</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many shopping centres do you have in the borough? 2. What is the total floor space (in sq. ft. or sq. m) of each of your shopping centres? 3. How many retail units do you have in total in each of your shopping centres? 4. How many vacant units do you have currently in each of your shopping centres? 5. How many of these units have been vacant for 6 months or more in each of your shopping centres? <p>[Please provide figures for the above for either each of your shopping centres or aggregate figures for the borough as a whole]</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The authority do not own or manage any shopping centres in the borough, we therefore do not hold the information requested.</p> <p>The authority only manages markets in the borough.</p>
21393	18/11/2014	<p>Subject: Unitary Development Plan</p> <p>Could I please be sent a copy of Newham Council's Unitary Development Plan as adopted in 2001?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the web link below where the full content of the 2001 UDP can be found here:</p> <p>http://web.archive.org/web/20120815125109/http://www.newham.gov.uk/Planning/UnitaryDevelopmentPlan/default.htm</p>

21405	18/11/2014	<p>Subject: Parking</p> <p>Could you please tell me the following as of 14/08/2014?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Were parking enforcement officers directly employed by Newham council. If not what company provided this service 2. Were the administrators who process parking charge notice payments and appeals in Warrington directly employed by Newham? If not what company provides this service 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Could you please tell me the following as of 14/08/2014?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Were Parking Enforcement Officers directly employed by Newham Council? If not what company provided this service? <p>Civil Enforcement Officers are employed by our enforcement contractor, Mouchel.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Were the administrators who process parking charge notice payments and appeals in Warrington directly employed by Newham? If not what company provides this service? <p>Our postal handling office only is in Warrington. Vertex provides this service.</p>
21404	19/11/2014	<p>Subject: Receivers of Fairer Access to Care Services (FACS)</p> <p>I would like to know the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many people currently (or at the most recently recorded date) receive Fairer Access to Care Services (FACS) adult care services in each of the following FACS categories: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Low b) Moderate c) Substantial d) Critical <p>If possible, please try and count each service user only once, preferably by the lowest criteria for which they receive adult care services - that is very much the preference, but if this is not possible, multiple counting is acceptable (where service users receive different services</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>I would like to know the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many people currently (or at the most recently recorded date) receive Fairer Access to Care Services (FACS) adult care services in each of the following FACS categories: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Low b) Moderate c) Substantial d) Critical <p>If possible, please try and count each service user only once, preferably by the lowest criteria for which they receive adult care services - that is very much the preference, but if this is not possible, multiple counting is acceptable (where service users receive different services based on different FACS levels) but please state this to be the case.</p> <p>Our computerised social care system is not capable of extrapolating the level of detail of information you have requested in relation to the FACS category under which individuals are receiving Adult Social care services. Whilst this information is held it is not recorded</p>

		<p>based on different FACS levels) but please state this to be the case.</p> <p>2. Please state which FACS level is the council's minimum eligibility threshold for funding FACS-based adult care services.</p>	<p>within our system in a way to enable a report to be drawn to show total numbers of service users under each category.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of the service users files/records to determine the category need and in cases where individuals have multiple category needs assessed to determine the current status. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>2. Please state which FACS level is the council's minimum eligibility threshold for funding FACS-based adult care services.</p> <p>The Council's FACS eligibility threshold for services is Substantial.</p>
21417	19/11/2014	<p>Subject: Contracts awarded for Environment</p> <p>We would appreciate it if you could supply the information listed below in relation to the award of contracts for Grounds Maintenance/ Landscaping, Streetscene and Street Cleansing</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title of the contract 2. Whether the service was awarded to a private contractor or retained in-house 3. If a private contractor, the name of the provider 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Grounds Maintenance</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Grounds Maintenance and Associated Services 2. Private 3. Serco 4. Approx. £5.2M 5. January 2009 to January 2019 (5 years with 5 year extension agreed) <p>Street scene and Street Cleansing</p>

		<p>4. The annual value of the Contract 5. The length of contract awarded</p>	<p>This is an in-house service.</p>
21406	20/11/2014	<p>Subject: Road legal electric powered vehicles and Population of Newham</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 I seek the following information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The number of road legal electric powered vehicles the council currently owns, manages or leases. 2. The latest recorded figure for the council's population to the nearest thousand. <p>Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'electric powered' refers to vehicles which purely run on an electric battery (not hybrid cars which combine a battery with a combustion engine). • 'road legal' refers to cars which are registered to drive on public roads not vehicles such as golf buggies which are only legal for use on private land. 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None 2. This information is available on the Council's website: http://www.newham.info/
21412	26/11/2014	<p>Subject: Looked After Children - trafficking</p> <p>Please release the following information relating to looked after children in your authority for the two periods 01 April 2013 to 31 March 2014, and for the period 01 April 2014 to date, 26 October 2014:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The total number of looked after children in the care of your authority that have been: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Identified as having been trafficked – including but not 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Q1. For the period 01.04.2013 to 31.03.2014, trafficking was identified as a risk factor in 4 cases involving Looked After Children. For the period 01.04.2014 to 31.10.2014, trafficking was identified as a risk factor in 6 cases involving Looked After Children.</p> <p>For Q2, 3 and 4. Please see tables below:</p> <p>Assessment Dates 2013-2014</p>

		<p>limited to those identified through the National Referral Mechanism. Please provide a break down of these numbers by gender, age and nationality.</p> <p>Suspected of having been trafficked, if not included in a.</p> <p>2.The total number of looked after children in the care of your authority, identified or suspected as having been trafficked and that have gone missing or absent. Please provide a break down of these numbers by gender, age and nationality.</p> <p>3. The total number of individual incidents (which could involve the same child on more than one occasion) of looked after children in the care of your authority, identified or suspected as having been trafficked and that have gone missing or absent. Please provide a break down of these numbers by gender, age, nationality and the length of time the child was missing during each incident.</p> <p>4. The total number of looked after children in the care of your authority, identified or suspected as having been trafficked and that are still missing or absent. Please provide a break down of these numbers by gender, age, nationality and the length of time the child has now been missing.</p>	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Age</td> <td>Gender</td> <td>Nationality</td> <td>LAC</td> <td>Missing Episodes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16</td> <td>Male</td> <td>Vietnamese</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>None</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15</td> <td>Male</td> <td>Asian Other</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>None</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Male</td> <td>Eastern European</td> <td>With Mother in women's refuge</td> <td>None</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16</td> <td>Male</td> <td>White Other</td> <td>LAC ended</td> <td>None</td> </tr> </table> <p>Assessment Dates 2014 -2015</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Age</td> <td>Gender</td> <td>Ethnicity</td> <td>LAC</td> <td>Missing Episode</td> <td>Period Weeks</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18</td> <td>Male</td> <td>Vietnamese</td> <td>Was looked after placement ended</td> <td>Y</td> <td>12.5</td> </tr> </table> <p>Weeks</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>16</td> <td>Male</td> <td>other</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>17</td> <td>Female</td> <td>Eastern European</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>14</td> <td>Male</td> <td>Bangladeshi</td> <td>yes</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16</td> <td>Male</td> <td>Not yet obtained</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>Female</td> <td>Other</td> <td>Yes - Mother and baby placement</td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table> <p>Please note, none of these children are currently missing from placement.</p>	Age	Gender	Nationality	LAC	Missing Episodes	16	Male	Vietnamese	Yes	None	15	Male	Asian Other	Yes	None	3	Male	Eastern European	With Mother in women's refuge	None	16	Male	White Other	LAC ended	None	Age	Gender	Ethnicity	LAC	Missing Episode	Period Weeks	18	Male	Vietnamese	Was looked after placement ended	Y	12.5	16	Male	other	Yes	No	17	Female	Eastern European	Yes	No	14	Male	Bangladeshi	yes	No	16	Male	Not yet obtained	Yes	No	0	Female	Other	Yes - Mother and baby placement	No
Age	Gender	Nationality	LAC	Missing Episodes																																																													
16	Male	Vietnamese	Yes	None																																																													
15	Male	Asian Other	Yes	None																																																													
3	Male	Eastern European	With Mother in women's refuge	None																																																													
16	Male	White Other	LAC ended	None																																																													
Age	Gender	Ethnicity	LAC	Missing Episode	Period Weeks																																																												
18	Male	Vietnamese	Was looked after placement ended	Y	12.5																																																												
16	Male	other	Yes	No																																																													
17	Female	Eastern European	Yes	No																																																													
14	Male	Bangladeshi	yes	No																																																													
16	Male	Not yet obtained	Yes	No																																																													
0	Female	Other	Yes - Mother and baby placement	No																																																													
21414	20/11/2014	<p>Subject: Flanders Community Centre, E6</p> <p>Could you please inform me of the permitted opening hours of Flanders Community Centre 116 Napier Road East Ham London E6 2SG with regards to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Planning permission 2) Licensing 3) any special conditions or variations to these permitted hours 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Details of the planning history of the site and surrounding area is available to view on the Council's website: https://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do;jsessionid=64387469AD16B67A3CA3D5F13DDC706A?action=simple&searchType=Application</p> <p>No planning permission has been identified that restricts the hours of use of Flanders Community Centre, 116 Napier Road, East Ham, London E6 2SG. The only restrictions to</p>																																																														

			<p>hours that have been identified relate the Multi Use Games Area. Historic planning information includes the following:</p> <p>84/1222/DEEM – Erection of an amenity building with car parking. Deemed planning permission granted 20th March 1985. No conditions imposed restricting hours of use.</p> <p>P/03/1682 – Construction of a new sports pavilion including changing rooms and community facilities and additions to the existing Flanders Community Centre. Planning permission granted 21st January 2004. Condition 7 states the following:</p> <p>“The pavilion and MUGA, hereby approved, shall only be open to the general public of community association members between 9am and 10pm on any given day.</p> <p>Reason: To safeguard the amenities of the area.”</p> <p>08/01916/AOD – Approval of details pursuant to condition 3 (lighting) of planning consent 07/02199/LA3 dated 24.12.07. Details approved 27th November 2008.</p> <p>07/02199/LA3 – Construction of a multi-use games area on the northern side of Flanders playing field. Planning permission granted on 24th December 2007. Condition 4 states the following:</p> <p>“Hours of operation of the Multi Use Games Area shall be restricted from 09:00 to 21:30, where the floodlights shall be switched off and the Multi Use Games Area shall be locked at 21:30 hours.</p> <p>Reasons: To prevent loss of amenity due to noise and light spillage occurring late at night or early in the morning (especially to adjoining residential houses), with consideration to Policy EQ45 of the London Borough of Newham Unitary Development Plan (adopted June 2001, and saved from the 27th September 2007 in accordance with the direction from the Secretary of State), and Policy 4A, 14 of the London Plan (adopted February 2004).”</p> <p>2. Licensing Act 2003: The premise is licensed for the hours of 09.00 hours to 24.00 hours (Midnight) on Monday to Sunday.</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>Whilst the opening hours of premises are not licensable or enforceable under the Licensing Act 2003, the opening hours of 09.00 hours to 24.00 hours (Midnight) on Monday to Sunday have been placed on the licence.</p> <p>3. There have been no variations to the hours and there are no special conditions relating to them.</p>
21416	25/11/2014	<p>Subject: Law Enforcement Officer</p> <p>Can you please detail the so called "powers" that the so called " Law Enforcement " officers have in Newham as regards to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Stopping people in the street 2) Their legal powers 3) Why are they are dressed like, and could be mistaken for proper Law Enforcement Officers i.e. a Police Officer? 4) And finally did Newham ever recover the reported, as detailed in Private Eye, stolen 500 uniforms of "Law Enforcement" officers. 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1) Powers are provided under a number of Acts of Parliament, details of a range of Newham Council Officers authorisations can be found using the below link: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/ServiceChild/Schemes-of-delegation.aspx</p> <p>Section 5 is the most relevant to your query.</p> <p>2) As above.</p> <p>3) Newham Law Enforcement Officers are required by law to wear uniforms to undertake some specific authorised enforcement functions. The uniform has been agreed with the Metropolitan Police. We have ensured that a majority of officers are accredited by the Metropolitan Police.</p> <p>The Law Enforcement Officer uniform does not bear the term 'police' so there is a clear distinction.</p> <p>Newham Law Enforcement Officers have specific powers which private citizens do not and it is an offence to obstruct an officer exercising his/her powers.</p> <p>In addition most officers are granted further powers under Section 40 of the Police Reform Act 2002 "community accreditation scheme" details which can be found at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/community-safety-accreditation-scheme-powers.</p> <p>4) The information you have provided is incorrect. 500 uniforms were not stolen. In 2012 some items of uniform and stationery were stolen. The matter was reported to the police</p>

			and arrests were made in connection with the matter. The items were recovered and remain with the police as exhibits.
21420	09/12/2014	<p>Subject: Landlord</p> <p>I would like to know the address of the landlord of 5 Torrens Square Stratford E15 4NB</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 21 exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request for information.</p> <p>Section 21 of the Act contains an exemption for information which is reasonably accessible by other means. The landlord licensing register provides the details of landlords across the borough. Please see the relevant web link below where the licences (under the heading of Selective) may be independently researched by address.</p> <p>https://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do?action=simple&searchType=LicencingApplication</p> <p>The register includes the declared contact address for the landlord.</p> <p>Outside of this statutory register, we believe that disclosing the details of individual private landlords would result in the identification of individuals. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>Section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 provides an absolute exemption where disclosure of personal data about individuals would contravene any of the data protection principles set out in the Data Protection Act. Therefore there is no requirement to consider the public interest test in disclosure.</p>
21422	09/12/2014	<p>Subject: Licenses for spiders</p> <p>Please would you tell me:</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Council have not issued any licences for the keeping of the named spiders under the Dangerous Wild Animals Act 1976 (as amended.)</p>

		<p>1. The number of people who currently have licenses to keep spiders under the Dangerous Wild Animals Act 1976 (as amended with The Dangerous Wild Animals Act 1976 (Modification) Order 2007).</p> <p>The relevant spiders are as follows:</p> <p>Family Ctenidae: Wandering spiders. The genus Phoneutria.</p> <p>Family Hexathelidae: The Sydney funnel-web spider and its close relatives. The genus Atrax.</p> <p>Family Sicariidae: Brown recluse spiders (otherwise known as violin spiders). The genus Loxosceles.</p> <p>Family Theridiidae: The widow spiders and close relatives. The genus Latrodectus.</p>							
21425	27/11/2014	<p>Subject: Disabled Freedom Passes</p> <p>I would be grateful if you would answer the following questions:</p> <p>(1) Have there been any changes in your borough's eligibility criteria for disabled freedom passes over the last eight years?</p> <p>(2) If the answer to question 1 is 'yes', what have these changes been?</p> <p>(3) If the answer to question 1 is 'yes', when did the changes take place?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Yes.</p> <p>2. In accordance with The Transport Act 2000 currently being used in conducting all assessments for Disabled Freedom Passes, the Higher Rate (mobility component) Disability Living Allowance entitlement was removed from the eligibility criteria.</p> <p>3. This came into effect on 1st March 2011.</p> <p>4. Please refer to table below:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1088 1289 1680 1351"> <thead> <tr> <th>Badge Type</th> <th>Year of issue</th> <th>Total items in year</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Freedom Pass</td> <td>2005-06</td> <td>4,995</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Badge Type	Year of issue	Total items in year	Freedom Pass	2005-06	4,995
Badge Type	Year of issue	Total items in year							
Freedom Pass	2005-06	4,995							

		<p>(4) How many disabled freedom passes did your borough issue in each year since, and including, 2006?</p>	<table border="0"> <tr><td>Freedom Pass</td><td>2006-07</td><td>4,926</td></tr> <tr><td>Freedom Pass</td><td>2007-08</td><td>4,574</td></tr> <tr><td>Freedom Pass</td><td>2008-09</td><td>5,921</td></tr> <tr><td>Freedom Pass</td><td>2010</td><td>5,812</td></tr> <tr><td>Freedom Pass</td><td>2011</td><td>1,548</td></tr> <tr><td>Freedom Pass</td><td>2012</td><td>1,599</td></tr> <tr><td>Freedom Pass</td><td>2013</td><td>1,373</td></tr> <tr><td>Freedom Pass</td><td>2014</td><td>1,186</td></tr> </table>	Freedom Pass	2006-07	4,926	Freedom Pass	2007-08	4,574	Freedom Pass	2008-09	5,921	Freedom Pass	2010	5,812	Freedom Pass	2011	1,548	Freedom Pass	2012	1,599	Freedom Pass	2013	1,373	Freedom Pass	2014	1,186
Freedom Pass	2006-07	4,926																									
Freedom Pass	2007-08	4,574																									
Freedom Pass	2008-09	5,921																									
Freedom Pass	2010	5,812																									
Freedom Pass	2011	1,548																									
Freedom Pass	2012	1,599																									
Freedom Pass	2013	1,373																									
Freedom Pass	2014	1,186																									
21426	09/12/2014	<p>Subject: HR and Payroll structure</p> <p>I would like to make a request under the Freedom of Information Act for a departmental structure of the HR and Payroll department within the council.</p> <p>Please can you also let me know what HR/Payroll System you use? e.g.: NGA Resource link, Oracle R12, iTrent, Workday</p> <p>Please can you confirm Names, Email addresses and where possible Telephone numbers of senior management within the directorate.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please see below the departmental structure of the senior management team of the Human Resources and Employee Services (Payroll) department of Newham Council.</p> <p>It should be noted we do have a joint working arrangement with the London Borough of Havering for HR and Payroll services by the name of One Source. For clarity with have provided the structure in respect of Newham staff only.</p> <p>Jan Douglas - Deputy Director for Human Resources Stewart Aldersley - Head of Leadership and Organisational Development</p> <p>Eloh Agbahowe - Head of People Projects and Participation Andrea Diable - Strategic HR Business Manager Resources Brenda Whyte - Strategic HR Business Manager Enviro and Community Safety Caroline Bloch - Strategic HR Business Manager Commissioning and Leisure Trust Raymond Ellul - Head of Talent</p> <p>Rashid Patel - Head of Shared Services</p> <p>Deputy Trent Maintenance and Support Manager</p> <p>Principal Trent Maintenance and Support Officer x 4</p> <p>2. We currently use the iTrent (Midland Software) HR and Payroll systems, However, we intent to move over to One Oracle in 2015.</p>																								

			<p>3. All Council officers may be contacting through the Newham Contact Centre on 0208 430 2000.</p> <p>All of the officers in Human Resources and Payroll services may be contacted via email using the following reference Firstname.lastname@onesource.co.uk</p>
21418	25/11/2014	<p>Subject: Affordable Housing</p> <p>Please supply information about the percentages of affordable housing, describing the specific kinds of affordability in each case, provided in housing built in Stratford since 2003 until now according to each housing project finished or planned during that time. Referring to the areas built up in the last ten years along Stratford High Street and neighbouring streets such as Angel Lane, Burford Road, etc.</p> <p>To be clear, regarding affordability, I understand there are different kinds of affordable housing, eg intermediate, social, part buy part rent as this site explains http://redditch.whub.org.uk/cms/housing/housing-policy/types-of-affordable-housing.aspx - so when I refer to 'kinds of affordability' I am referring to these different kinds of affordable housing and how much of each kind has been delivered on each housing site over the past ten years.</p> <p>Second, as I understand it all the housing built so far in the Stratford area has been through Newham's Planning Committee, even in the case of East Village, although that is now also under the control of the LLDC. The ownership of the housing is not my concern. The responsibility for ensuring the provision of affordable housing rests with the borough so it is the amount of affordable housing delivered on each of the sites which have been given planning permission which interests me.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see spreadsheets attached.</p> <p>Please note, with your reference to the 'Stratford area', the Council refers to the Stratford and New Town Ward.</p> <p>Notes:</p> <p>1) The period covered is from 01/01/2004 to 30/09/2014. (NB the date on the spreadsheets show 04/11/2014 which denotes the date of interrogation).</p> <p>2) Source of information: London Development Database</p> <p>3) Abbreviations used on the Approvals spread sheet are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ODA - Olympic Delivery Authority • FUL - Full Planning Application • OUT - Outline Consent (including hybrid of both outline and detailed consents) • REM - Reserved Matters • LTGDC - London Thames Gateway Development Corporation <p>4) More information can be found by using the drop down boxes at the top of each column.</p> <p>5) Relevant applications submitted to the Council after 30/9/14 can be found on the LBN website on the Public Access page: https://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do;jsessionid=64387469AD16B67A3CA3D5F13DDC706A?action=simple&searchType=Application</p>

		<p>Third, I am assuming, possibly incorrectly, that Newham compiles statistics of the kind of housing being built within its boundaries, so that it can keep track of how much of such housing is being provided. This is a planning matter not a matter of ownership and concerns the kind of housing that is being provided within the borough. I am interested in the kind of housing which has been built over the past ten years in the Stratford area and the statistics Newham keeps about the kind of housing being built. In this instance I am interested in the housing which has been built in the Stratford area.</p>	<p>Clicking on the 'Advanced' tab allows a search over a specified date range.</p> <p>6) Public Access also allows a search for further details of each application by entering the planning application reference number which can be found on the spread sheet.</p> <p>7) Please note that this does not include planning consents given by the LLDC - they should be contacted separately for information in their area.</p>
21458	20/11/2014	<p>Subject: Empty Properties</p> <p>Please can I request the list of empty properties and the owners' addresses?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse the information you requested.</p> <p>The borough is currently undergoing extensive regeneration and ongoing development, which means that there are higher levels of empty properties. Empty properties, both residential and commercial are more likely to be and have been subject to illegal occupation (squatting) and have been broken into and any saleable materials such as cooper and other fittings removed. Also premises that are the subject of squatting have resulted in an increase in anti-social behaviour and noise issues, which have a significant impact on local residents and adjoining properties.</p> <p>We therefore consider that disclosure of the exact location of empty properties is likely to lead to an increase in the levels of crime and disorder. It is our view that Section 31(1) (a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 applies to this request. This section provides that information is exempt from disclosure if such disclosure would prejudice the "prevention or detection of crime". To provide addresses of empty properties would in our view compromise the security of the buildings concerned and could enable criminal behaviour.</p> <p>By disclosing the addresses of vacant properties, the Council exposes neighbourhoods to the anti-social behaviour/criminal activities associated with such issues. Our Safety and</p>

			<p>Enforcement Team and the Police are currently doing all they can to deal with these problems and the Council is not minded to add to this problem by disclosing the details of known empty properties into the public domain.</p> <p>It may be useful to note that if you independently identify any empty properties in the borough for which you would wish to retrieve the ownership details of the landlord, this information is already publically available from the Land Registry.</p> <p>For your reference please see the relevant contact details below: http://www.landregistry.gov.uk/public/property-ownership</p>																												
21430	28/11/2014	<p>Subject: Library Fines</p> <p>1. How much money did the council receive in the payment of fines from overdue library books in the calendar year 2013? Please provide the total figure (i.e. the sum of all overdue fines received at all library branches within the borough).</p> <p>2. From the figure provided in question 1 above, was this money absorbed in the council budget or used for a specific purpose? If the latter, please state that specific purpose.</p> <p>3. Do you employ the use of web content filtering software on the computers connected to the internet and available for public use in your branch libraries? If so, and if this blocking is done via categories (e.g. "gambling", "pornography", "adult" etc.), please provide a list of categories blocked.</p> <p>4. If you do use web content filtering software as described in question 3 above, please provide a) the name of that software and b) how much that software costs the council each year.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. For 2013/14 the total book fines collected was £32,332.91.</p> <p>2. The income is absorbed into the Community Neighbourhoods budget and therefore re-invested in the libraries.</p> <p>3. Yes, our internet connectivity is filtered by our internet service supplier: The London Grid for Learning (LGfL). The filtered URLs are indeed divided into categories such as "gambling" and "pornography". Please find below a detailed list of categories as defined by Newham LA:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Category</td> <td>Category</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Abortion - Prochoice</td> <td>New URL</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Abortion - Prolife</td> <td>Nudity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Abortions</td> <td>Occult</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Activist/Advocacy Groups</td> <td>Open Resource Sharing</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Adult Content</td> <td>Pay to Surf</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Adult Image</td> <td>Peer to Peer</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Adware</td> <td>Phishing</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Alcohol</td> <td>Phone Cards</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bullying</td> <td>Profanity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Criminal Skills</td> <td>Proxy Anonymizer</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Drugs - Illegal</td> <td>Ringtones</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Extreme Safe Search</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>File Sharing</td> <td>Search Keywords</td> </tr> </table>	Category	Category	Abortion - Prochoice	New URL	Abortion - Prolife	Nudity	Abortions	Occult	Activist/Advocacy Groups	Open Resource Sharing	Adult Content	Pay to Surf	Adult Image	Peer to Peer	Adware	Phishing	Alcohol	Phone Cards	Bullying	Profanity	Criminal Skills	Proxy Anonymizer	Drugs - Illegal	Ringtones	Extreme Safe Search		File Sharing	Search Keywords
Category	Category																														
Abortion - Prochoice	New URL																														
Abortion - Prolife	Nudity																														
Abortions	Occult																														
Activist/Advocacy Groups	Open Resource Sharing																														
Adult Content	Pay to Surf																														
Adult Image	Peer to Peer																														
Adware	Phishing																														
Alcohol	Phone Cards																														
Bullying	Profanity																														
Criminal Skills	Proxy Anonymizer																														
Drugs - Illegal	Ringtones																														
Extreme Safe Search																															
File Sharing	Search Keywords																														

			<p>Freeware Downloads Security Threat Gambling SMS Messaging Games Social Networking Hate Speech Substance Abuse Internet Auction Tasteless/Illegal/Questionable Intimate Apparel Tobacco Investing Violence IWF Viruses Malformed URL Weapons Match Making Web Chat Music Downloads Web E-mail Network Unavailable Web-Based Chat & Email</p> <p>4. The name of the URL filtration software employed by London Grid for Learning is Web Screen 2.0. The cost of this software is £1,250 a year and includes, along with many other services and resources, email filtration, Sophos Anti-Virus, OneDrive and Office 365.</p>
21432	20/11/2014	<p>Subject: Enforcement action against HMOs</p> <p>Can you email me all the enforcement action you have taken on HMO properties within your Borough, via the following notices, for the last 5 years:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Overcrowding Notices - Prohibition Notices 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>For the period 1st January 2009 to 12th November 2014, the Council have issued the following:</p> <p>Prohibition/ Suspended prohibition notices = 169 S139 Overcrowding notices = 14</p> <p>Unfortunately we cannot breakdown this total into definitive property types due to property licensing across the whole borough only being implemented last year which would identify smaller classified HMO's. Generally, occupation of private rented properties within the Newham Borough is now controlled by the levels of occupation stipulated in the property licence.</p>
21462	28/11/2014	<p>Subject: Newham Community Prescription scheme</p> <p>How much funding will you be giving to the Newham Community Prescription scheme set up by Wayne Farah of</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not fund the CCG Community Prescription Scheme.</p>

		Newham CCG? What will not get funded by Newham Council if you do fund this GP health scheme?	This is funded by the CCG.
21464	28/11/2014	<p>Subject: Funding for 'Lets Get Moving' programme</p> <p>Can you let me know how much funding Active Newham get for the 'Lets Get Moving' programme?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Active Newham receive a total of £136,000 funding per year for the 'Lets Get Moving' programme.</p>
21463	09/12/2014	<p>Subject: Departing Families</p> <p>Please could you provide me with the FOI enquiry made by XXXXXXXXXXXX/ Local Government Chronicle (http://www.lgcplus.com/5076230.article) on families assisted by LBN to leave the borough and your full response?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>From the information provided and a search of our Information Governance system, we have been unable to locate a request from the named individual on the subject matter stated.</p> <p>It may be useful to note that all completed Freedom of Information requests and their responses are already published on the Newham website. For your reference, please see the relevant web link below;</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/ServiceChild/Freedom-of-Information-disclosure-log.aspx</p>
21461	20/11/2014	<p>Subject: Estates passed to Treasury Solicitors</p> <p>I write to ask under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 for the following :</p> <p>All intestate estates which are being passed or have been passed to the Treasury Solicitors Department Bona Vacantia Division in the last three months.</p> <p>In your response please include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Their last known address •When they died •Value of the estate •Name of the person who has died •Who referred the case to the council 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under Section 21 of the Act London Borough of Newham is not required to provide information in response to a request if it is already reasonably accessible to you. The information you requested is available from the Treasury Solicitor's Department Bona Vacantia Division website at www.bonavacantia.gov.uk.</p> <p>The council usually refer estates with a net value of over £500 to the Treasury Solicitor's Department. The department would then publish limited information about those valued at over £5,000, unless a claimant comes forward during the period before publication.</p> <p>In addition to this, the information you requested with regard to the date of birth and last known address are on the death certificate. This information is accessible from the General Register Office at www.gro.gov.uk.</p>

			<p>Further we rely on Section 31 of the Freedom of Information Act. This exemption applies where disclosure would be likely to prejudice a range of investigations and conduct, including the prevention and detection of crime. Releasing information under FOIA is equivalent to publishing it “to the world at large” and so our decision must be based on the likely effect of anyone having access to the requested information. The vast majority of the estates in question contain residential property, which, due to the circumstances of the estate, is likely to be empty, and in many cases will contain assets. Releasing information that identifies, either directly or indirectly, the whereabouts of such properties (and assets) before they have been secured leads to a real and significant risk of theft and fraud. It may also alert unlawful occupiers to the location of empty properties in the borough. This could also interfere with the statutory function to collect Bona Vacantia (ownerless goods) vested in the Crown and would provide an opportunity for criminal acts to be committed.</p> <p>With regards to section 31 above (qualified exemption), a ‘public interest test’ is required to determine if the exemption is applicable. In applying this test we have considered the following factors:</p> <p>Factors in favour of disclosure: the general public interest in the promotion of transparency, accountability, public understanding and involvement in the democratic process; the benefits to potential beneficiaries of unclaimed estates of genealogists tracing them down earlier; the resulting likely effect of fewer empty properties in the borough and the commercial benefits to lawyers and genealogists in tracing beneficiaries.</p> <p>Factors against disclosure: disclosure would increase the risk of fraud and theft towards vulnerable estates, and potentially towards individuals; such fraud and theft would diminish the value of estates, estates that potentially have beneficiaries; releasing information which may lead to the identification of empty properties increases the likelihood of unlawful occupation in the borough</p> <p>Whilst there are arguments on each side, we consider that, in the circumstances of the case, the public interest favours withholding this information.</p>
21483	25/11/2014	Subject : Pension Fund Investments	Summary:

		<p>I am following up on a FOI request regarding Newham's Pension Funds allocation to and investment in infrastructure funds.</p> <p>Your response outlined an investment in Arcus but I have since discovered through public filings that Newham Pension Fund was also at the same time invested in IFM Global Infrastructure Fund.</p> <p>Could you please have a look into this oversight in terms of the fulsomeness of the response to the FOI request, and additionally provide me with the same details with regard to investment amount and performance m metrics for IFM Global as you have for Arcus,</p>	<p>We can confirm that Newham's Pension Fund has not invested in IFM's Global Infrastructure Fund.</p> <p>The Newham portfolio made a 'commitment' to invest in IFM's Global Infrastructure Fund during Q3 last year. Due to the illiquid nature of the asset class, the commitment would have only been invested when suitable assets to purchase were identified using the committed capital. It was later agreed to stop making private market commitments in the portfolio. At this time the IFM commitment was "0% invested" and the decision was taken to cancel the commitment (at no cost to the portfolio), reflecting the preference of the Trustees to minimise illiquidity in an efficient manner.</p>
21484	09/12/2014	<p>Subject : Better Care Fund Plan</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act, please send me the most recent version of your Health and Wellbeing Board's Better Care Fund plan. It was submitted to the Department of Health in September, and given final approval (possibly subject to conditions) by the BCF task force during October.</p> <p>The plan will be in two parts: a narrative document, and a detailed Excel sheet. I am requesting BOTH documents.</p> <p>NHS England has already uploaded a few examples here, if it helps you locate what I'm requesting. http://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/part-rel/transformation-fund/bcf-plan/fast-track/</p> <p>To reiterate: I want both the long narrative document AND the spreadsheet.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The information you have requested is already publicly available on the Newham website.</p> <p>The full plan was presented at the Health and Wellbeing Board's meeting held on 3rd November 2014.</p> <p>For your reference, please see the relevant web link below for the agenda (Item 3) and agenda for this meeting.</p> <p>https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CIId=1264&MID=10378</p>

21486	25/11/2014	<p>Subject: Homecare Commissioning</p> <p>1. Who do we apply to if we would like to put in a tender for the following client groups: a) Learning difficulties b) sensory difficulties c) older people d) physical difficulties and what are their contact details?</p> <p>2. What is the process of applying for a contract with the local authority for the following client groups: a) Learning difficulties b) sensory difficulties c) older people d) physical difficulties?</p> <p>3. When is the next contract due to tender as a provider for a) Learning difficulties b) sensory difficulties c) older people d) physical difficulties?</p> <p>4. What is the process for being placed on the direct payment providers list?</p> <p>5. Do the people who place you on the direct payment list also manage this list or is it a different team? If so please provide contact details?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. All tenders are advertised on the London Tenders Portal: http://www.londoncontractsregister.co.uk/public_crs/</p> <p>2. If you are interested in Homecare, we currently have a framework in place until July 2015; however this may be extended for one more year. In future when the contract is up for tender, it will be advertised in the London Portal website with instructions.</p> <p>3. As above.</p> <p>4. We do not have a direct payment provider list as customers choose providers.</p> <p>5. N/A – see above.</p>
21500	20/11/2014	<p>Subject : Nursery Provision</p> <p>Can you tell me how many children's day nursery 's are in the borough private and council owned</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the attached list of Newham day nursery providers in the borough.</p> <p>Please also see the relevant link below which details additional nursery provision in Newham schools. http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/ServiceChild/Newham%20nursery-schools.aspx</p>
21495	27/11/2014	<p>Subject : Early Years</p> <p>Could you please supply me with the following information?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. The council currently do not have a preferred list for level 2 and 3 childcare training.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When will the council be renewing their preferred providers list for Levels 2 and 3 Childcare training? • Where will these contracts be advertised? • Within the total budget for training, what percentage and value is allocated to the Early Years sector? • Are there any bursaries available to help students with fees for these courses? • Within the total budget for supporting the infrastructure and sustainability of council controlled Early Years settings, what percentage and value is allocated to the cost of temporary staff? • What is the title and contact detail for the person responsible for training standards for Early Years professionals within the local authority's area? 	<p>2. N/A.</p> <p>3. The total budget is £55,000. 100% is allocated to the Early Years sector.</p> <p>4. No, the courses are free.</p> <p>5. We do not have any temporary staff.</p> <p>6. The contact is: Sharon Brown, Childcare Development Manager, telephone number: 0208 430 2000.</p>
21502	09/12/2014	<p>Subject : Temporary Staff Provider</p> <p>1. Does the Council have a contract with a managed service provider for the supply of temporary staff?</p> <p>2. Is the managed service provider a master vendor, a neutral vendor or are temporary staff supplied through contracts with individual agencies?</p> <p>3. What was the contract start date and minimum duration?</p> <p>4. What potential contract extensions were specified if any e.g. 2 years or 1 year + 1 year etc.?</p> <p>5. What is the potential latest end date?</p> <p>6. What is the name of the managed service provider?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Does the Council have a contract with a managed service provider for the supply of temporary staff? Yes</p> <p>2. Is the managed service provider a master vendor, a neutral vendor or are temporary staff supplied through contracts with individual agencies? Neutral Vendor</p> <p>3. What was the contract start date and minimum duration? Contract start : February 2012 for a duration of three years.</p> <p>4. What potential contract extensions were specified if any e.g. 2 years or 1 year + 1 year etc.? Possible one year extension.</p> <p>5. What is the potential latest end date? February 2015 with one year extension to 2016.</p>

			6. What is the name of the managed service provider? Pontoon Solutions
21506	20/11/2014	<p>Subject: Business Rates Credits</p> <p>In accordance with the above Act could I put you to the trouble of providing details of the credits held on your records for ratepayers in respect of payment of Business Rates.</p> <p>The information I require would be the Ratepayer name, address, credit amount and the financial year the credit sits in. This would only be for Limited Companies (corporate) and Government Bodies and not for individuals (sole traders, partnerships).</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>We consider that the information requested in respect of the names and addresses of the rate payers and businesses of these properties which are in credit would be exempt under Section 31 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p> <p>This exemption applies because the release of these details would prejudice the prevention or detection of crime. Credits can be provided to companies in a variety of ways, including directly to a company representative by cash or cheque. Due to the limited means of personal verification it is possible that credits could be claimed fraudulently.</p> <p>It has been concluded that disclosure of this information is not in the public interest as the disclosure of these details may lead to criminal activities such as fraud.</p>
21510	23/01/2015	<p>Subject : Public Health Funerals</p> <p>1) How many pauper burials did the council pay for in the years</p> <p>i) April 2010 - March 2011 ii) April 2011 - March 2012 iii) April 2012 - March 2013 iv) April 2013 - March 2014 v) Entire 2014? If yes, how many in total?</p> <p>Can the information be provided for each year requested?</p> <p>2) How much did this cost the council? Again can this be broken down for each year requested?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>In 2010/11 a total of £2,400 was spent on six public funerals. In 2011/12 a total of £4,076 was spent on eight public funerals. In 2012/13 a total of £6,392.20 was spent on twelve public funerals. In 2013/14 a total of £4,235.67 was spent on seven public funerals.</p> <p>Additional information in respect of public health funerals is published on the Newham website. Please see the relevant web link below http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Public-funerals.aspx</p>

21535	26/11/2014	<p>Subject: Homecare contracts</p> <p>In light of the fact that you have recently re-commissioned your homecare contracts (or were due to re-commission your homecare contracts) could I please have the following information</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please list all the providers of homecare services that your council commissions 2. Please list the start and end date of their contracts 3. Tell me how many staff each provider employs to provide this service? (If the exact number is not known, please give an approximate number) 4. Please can you also indicate the nature of each contract? (i.e. whether they are spot contracts or guaranteed a certain number of hours) 5. If the providers are paid for guaranteed hours, please indicate how many each contractor is allocated 6. Do you have any in-house provision of homecare services? If so how many hours in total allocated? 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. A Framework Agreement is in place with each of the providers listed below. They do not receive guaranteed hours:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Care Watch (East London) - Care Central - First Choice Home Care & Employment Services Limited - Plan Care - Sevacare - London Care Plc - Genesis Housing Association - Unique Personnel - Three C's Support - Advance Housing and Care - Allied Healthcare - Goldsmith Personnel <p>2. 1st August 2012 to 31st July 2014. Cabinet permission was granted for a one year extension to 31st July 2015.</p> <p>3. The Authority does not hold or have access to this data.</p> <p>4. A Framework Agreement is in place with each of the providers listed in Answer 1. They do not receive guaranteed hours.</p> <p>5. Not applicable.</p> <p>6. No</p>
21538	28/11/2014	<p>Subject: Spend on library books</p> <p>I would like the figures of spending on library books, and other things found in libraries in the Newham area over the</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached.</p>

		last 5 years or as many you can give me.	